

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Neurodevelopmental Outcome of Infants with Gastroschisis at One-Year Follow-Up

Vishal Gupta,¹ Amit Trivedi,^{1*} Karen Walker,¹ Andrew J A Holland²

1 Grace Centre for Newborn Care, The Children's Hospital at Westmead, Westmead NSW 2145, Australia

2 Douglas Cohen Department of Paediatric Surgery, The Children's Hospital at Westmead, Sydney Medical School, The University of Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Objective: Gastroschisis is a congenital malformation of the abdominal wall and may be associated with significant neonatal mortality and morbidity. The primary objective of this study was to describe the neurodevelopmental outcomes of neonates with this condition.

Methods: Medical records of all neonates admitted with a diagnosis of gastroschisis to a tertiary surgical unit from October 2006 to August 2011 were retrospectively reviewed. Demographic and clinical variables were collated along with developmental assessment results at one-year follow-up. Developmental assessment results were compared with case matched healthy control neonates of similar gestational age and birth weight.

Results: Of 20 patients in the study, 16 had simple and four had complex gastroschisis. Mean birth weight was 2.29 kg with a mean gestational age of 35.7 weeks. The majority of neonates underwent primary surgical repair, while 15% had a silo followed by surgical repair. Neonates with gastroschisis did not significantly differ from the control group in neurodevelopmental outcomes. Receptive and expressive language delay was found in gastroschisis is attributable to small for gestational age rather than the malformation per se.

Conclusions: These data suggest that neurodevelopmental outcomes at one year of age in children with gastroschisis were associated with being small for gestational age rather than the malformation.

Key words: Gastroschisis, Newborn, Neurodevelopment

INTRODUCTION

Gastroschisis (GS) is a full thickness, para-umbilical abdominal wall defect associated with evisceration of the intestine.[1] The incidence of GS has been reported as 1-5/ 10,000 live births and is increasing worldwide.[1-4] Nulliparous women and women under 20 years of age have a higher incidence of affected neonates.[5-9] Approximately 50% of neonates with GS are born prematurely and 67% are small for gestational age (SGA).[6] Prematurity, intrauterine growth restriction and nutritional issues in the immediate postnatal period potentially impact on growth and neurodevelopment.[10]

The majority of studies examining the outcomes in GS have focused on in-hospital endpoints such as commencement of feeds, days on ventilation, duration of Total Parenteral Nutrition (TPN), TPN associated cholestasis and length of stay in the hospital.[9,11,12] There remains a paucity of data reporting the neurodevelopment outcome of these neonates: the few that have been published have conflicting results, with both impaired [11] and normal development.[12,13] The aim of this study was to determine the neurodevelopment outcome of neonates with GS at one year of age.

* Corresponding Author

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Medical records of all neonates admitted with a diagnosis of gastroschisis to a tertiary surgical unit from October 2006 to August 2011 were retrospectively reviewed. All the neonates were transferred after delivery to the tertiary unit for surgical repair. Data were collected from the hospital's electronic records and discharge summaries. Bayley Scales of Infant and Toddler Development (BSID-III)[®] [14] assessment forms were used to evaluate them at follow up.

Patient data included maternal age at birth, gestational age at delivery, mode of delivery, APGAR score at one and five minutes and birth weight. Type of GS and surgical repair were recorded as independent variables. The outcome variables were duration of mechanical ventilation in days, age in days at commencement of feeds, number of days to full enteral feeds, duration of TPN, neuroimaging, TPN cholestasis and gastro-intestinal complications.

All patients with GS have been enrolled in a developmental follow-up clinic since October 2006 with assessments performed using the BSID-III. Domains assessed by BSID-III included Cognition, Communication (receptive and expressive), Gross motor and Fine motor subtests.

The scaled scores were obtained and classified as below average, average and above average.[15] Case-control analysis was performed to evaluate development at one year of age. Each patient with GS was matched to a control patient of the same gestational age (in weeks) and birth weight at one year of age. Control group comprised of healthy neonates who also formed the control group of another published study. [16] The study was approved by the local health network ethics committee.

Definitions and statistical analysis

1. Preterm: <37 weeks of gestation age at delivery.
2. Small for gestation (SGA): Birth weight less than 10th centile for gestational age.

3. Simple GS: Gastroschisis with uncomplicated repair.
4. Complex GS: Gastroschisis complicated by atresia, stenosis and bowel resection.
5. Total parenteral nutrition (TPN) cholestasis: Greater than 30 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ of conjugated bilirubin in neonates who have received total parenteral nutrition.
6. Gravida status: Primigravida being women pregnant for the first time and multigravida being women who has conceived one or more times in the past.

Data were analysed using IBM SPSS statistics (version 17; SPSS, Chicago, IL). ANOVA and t-test was used to compare developmental scores.

RESULTS

Twenty neonates with GS were enrolled in the study between October 2006 and August 2011. The mean gestational age was 35.7 weeks, and the mean birth weight was 2.29kg (Table 1). An antenatal diagnosis by foetal ultrasound was available in all neonates.

Table 1: Demographics of the study cohort

Variable	Result
Gestational age in weeks (Mean)	35.7 (Range 33-38)
Birth weight in Kg (Mean)	2.29 (Range 1.1-3.1)
Birth weight <10th centile (N, %)	10/20, 50%
Preterm (<37 Week)	12/20, (60%)
Gender (M: F)	8:12
Maternal Age in years (Median)	23.9 (Range 15-32)
Gravida	Primigravida 12 (75%) Multigravida 8 (25%)
Mode of Delivery (N, %)	LSCS 14 (70%) Vaginal Delivery 6 (30%)

The majority, 16 out of 20 (80%), had simple GS, while four neonates (20%) had complex GS. None of the neonates had any associated congenital anomalies. Primary closure was achieved for the majority of neonates, 14/20 (70%) shortly after admission. Three infants required a Silo reduction and three neonates required multiple stage operations in view of associated intestinal atresia and postoperative strictures. Three neonates had TPN cholestasis although none led to chronic liver disease. The median duration of ventilation was 3.5 days (0-43) while the median duration on TPN was 21.5 days (8 -124). The median time to start feeds was 7.5 days (2 to 98 days) and the median stay in the hospital was 27.5 days (12-218) (Table 2).

Table 2: Treatment details of the gastroschisis group

Type of Gastroschisis	
Simple	80% (16/20)
Complex	20% (4/20)
Type of Repair	
Primary	70% (14/20)
Silo	15% (3/20)
Multiple Stage	15% (3/20)
TPN Cholestasis	25% (5/20)
Survival	100% (20/20)
Days On Ventilation (Median)	3.5 (Range 0-43)
Duration on TPN (Median)	21.5 (Range 8-124)
Day When Feeds Started (Median)	7.5 (Range 2-98)
Full Feeds (Median)	21.5 (Range 7-142)
Hospital Stay (Median)	27.5 (Range 12-218)

Simple GS was managed by primary repair or Silo (16/16) while the majority (3/4) of complex GS required multiple-staged repair. Infants with complex GS had significantly longer duration of ventilation, time to feeds commenced, longer length of stay and time to full feeds (Table 3).

Growth and development were assessed at one year of age. 18/20 infants had follow-up data at a corrected mean age of 13 months (11-16 months). 28% of neonates had a weight below

10th centile (SGA) at one year of age compared with 50% at birth. Of the four neonates with complex GS, three were <10th percentile for weight at birth (SGA) and these infants remained growth restricted at their one-year follow up.

Table 3: Comparison between Simple and complex Gastroschisis

	Simple Gastroschisis	Complex Gastroschisis
Birth Weight <10th centile (percentage, no.)	44% (7/16)	75% (3/4)
Type of Repair		
Primary	88% (14/16)	25% (1/4)
Silo	12% (2/16)	25% (1/4)
Multiple Stage	0% (0/16)	75% (3/4)
Days on ventilation (Median)	2.5 (Range 0-13)	28.5 (Range 3-43)
Age in days feeds commenced (Median)	6 (Range 2-36)	59 (Range 35-98)
Length of stay in days (Median)	24.5 (Range 15-110)	164 (Range 124-218)
Age in days to full feeds (Median)	18.5 (Range 7-96)	122.7 (Range 110-142)
TPN induced cholestasis (Percentage, no.)	12%, (2/16)	75%, (3/4)

Table 4: Comparison of mean neurodevelopmental score among small-for-gestation-age infants and appropriate-for-age infants among the gastroschisis group

	Birth Weight <10 th centile (n = 10)	Birth Weight >10 th centile (n = 8)	P value
Cognition	10.00	11.88	0.333
Receptive Language	7.70	11.50	0.011
Expressive Language	7.80	10.63	0.028
Fine Motor	9.30	11.63	0.115
Gross Motor	8.00	10.50	0.132

There were no statistically significant differences in development at one year of age between neonates with GS and control infants. (Table 4) Variables such as gestational age, duration of ventilation, commencement of feeds, establishment of full feeds and duration of stay in hospital were not associated with developmental delay. However, SGA neonates were found to have statistically significant delay in receptive and expressive language. (P<0.05) (Table 5)

Table 5: Comparison of SGA infants with GS

	Birth Weight	Number	Mean	P value
Cognition	<10 th centile	10	10.00	.333
	>10 th centile	8	11.88	
Receptive Language	<10 th centile	10	7.70	.011
	>10 th centile	8	11.50	
Expressive Language	<10 th centile	10	7.80	.028
	>10 th centile	8	10.63	
Fine Motor	<10 th centile	10	9.30	.115
	>10 th centile	8	11.63	
Gross Motor	<10 th centile	10	8.00	.132
	>10 th centile	8	10.50	

DISCUSSION

The primary objective of this study was to describe the neurodevelopmental outcome of neonates with GS. We found no statistically significant difference in the neurodevelopmental outcomes between neonates with GS and our matched controls. This finding was similar to that of Gorra et al. [17] who compared the neurodevelopmental outcome of 46 neonates with GS at two years of age, although their study used an earlier version of the Bayley developmental assessment and excluded neonates with complex GS.

To date, there have been few studies focusing on the neurodevelopmental outcome of neonates. South et al. [13] used the Bayley II at follow up and found that only one infant had a score below average on the Mental Developmental Index. However, Henrich et al.'s [18] suggested that the initial delay is amenable to correction with close follow up and early intervention. A more recent study by Minutillo et al [19] used the Griffiths Developmental Assessment and Ages and Stages questionnaire at a 12-month follow-up of neonates with GS. This study revealed that only one infant had a low General Quotient and concluded that the incidence of adverse neurodevelopmental outcomes at one year of age appears to be low. A study by van Manen et al [20] is the only study published to date, which used the BSID-III as a developmental assessment tool. At 20 months of

age, none of their patients had cognitive delay or cerebral palsy, a finding that is comparable to our study.

In this study neonates born with a birth weight <10th centile (SGA) did show a delay in both receptive and expressive language at one year of age compared with non-SGA infants. These neonates had significantly longer duration of hospital stay, prolonged ventilation, TPN dependence and more frequent TPN cholestasis, when compared with neonates with simple GS. There have been several studies in the last 10 years which identified comparable results.[18,19,21,22] Arnold et al. [23] included 4344 patients with GS and showed that babies with complex GS had a prolonged hospital stay and several comorbidities. Three of the four neonates, who were SGA at birth, remained so at their one-year follow up.

Seventy percent of neonates had a primary closure as compared to a silo procedure followed by subsequent closure, which is comparable to other studies. [24] Although a mortality of 4.4% has been reported in other studies, there were no deaths in this cohort.

CONCLUSION

Early neurodevelopmental outcome at one year of age in children with gastroschisis was not significantly different from that of control infants. However, small-for-gestational-age neonates with gastroschisis showed delay on both receptive and expressive language. Long-term follow-up is required for these infants as some delay may become apparent as they grow.

REFERENCES

1. Loane M, Dolk H, Bradbury I. Increasing prevalence of gastroschisis in Europe 1980-2002: a phenomenon restricted to younger mothers? *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol.* 2007;21:363-9.
2. Mastroiacovo P, Lisi A, Castilla EE. The incidence of gastroschisis: research urgently needs resources. *BMJ.* 2006;332:423-4.
3. Laughon M, Meyer R, Bose C, Laughon M, Meyer R, Bose C, et al. Rising birth prevalence of gastroschisis *J Perinatol.* 2003;23:291-3.
4. Srivastava V, Mandhan P, Pringle K, Morreau P, Beasley S, Samarakkody U. Rising incidence of

- gastroschisis and exomphalos in New Zealand. *J Pediatr Surg.* 2009 ;44:551-5.
5. Goldbaum G, Daling J, Milham S. Risk factors for gastroschisis. *Teratology.* 1990; 42:397-403.
 6. Reid KP, Dickinson JE, Doherty DA. The epidemiologic incidence of congenital gastroschisis in Western Australia. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2003 ;189:764-8.
 7. Lausman AY, Langer JC, Tai M, Seaward PG, Windrim RC, Kelly EN, et al. Gastroschisis: what is the average gestational age of spontaneous delivery? *J Pediatr Surg.* 2007; 42:1816-21.
 8. Fillingham A, Rankin J. Prevalence, prenatal diagnosis and survival of gastroschisis. *Prenat Diagn.* 2008 ;28:1232-7.
 9. Vu LT, Nobuhara KK, Laurent C, Shaw GM. Increasing prevalence of gastroschisis: population-based study in California. *J Pediatr.* 2008 ;152:807-11.
 10. Clayton PE, Cianfarani S, Czernichow P, Johannsson G, Rapaport R, Rogol A. Management of the child born small for gestational age through to adulthood: a consensus statement of the International Societies of Pediatric Endocrinology and the Growth Hormone Research Society. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2007 ;92:804-10.
 11. Berseth CL, Malachowski N, Cohn RB, Sunshine P. Longitudinal growth and late morbidity of survivors of gastroschisis and omphalocele. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 1982;1:375-9.
 12. Boyd PA, Bhattacharjee A, Gould S, Manning N, Chamberlain P. Outcome of prenatally diagnosed anterior abdominal wall defects. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed.* 1998 ;78:F209-13.
 13. South AP, Marshall DD, Bose CL, Laughon MM. Growth and neurodevelopment at 16 to 24 months of age for infants born with gastroschisis. *J Perinatol.* 2008 ;28:702-6.
 14. Bayley N. Bayley Scales of Infant and Toddler Development. 2nd ed. San Antonio, The Psychological Corporation, 2006.
 15. Albers CA, Grieve AJ. Test review: Bayley, N. Bayley Scales of Infant and Toddler Development—Third Edition. San Antonio, TX: Harcourt Assessment. *J Psychoeducat Assess.* 2007 ;25:180-98.
 16. Walker K, Badawi N, Halliday R Stewart J, Sholler GF, Winlaw DS, et al. Early developmental outcomes following major noncardiac and cardiac surgery in term infants: a population-based study. *J Pediatr.* 2012 ;161:748-752.
 17. Gorra AS, Needelman H, Azarow KS, Roberts HJ, Jackson BJ, Cusick RA. Long-term neurodevelopmental outcomes in children born with gastroschisis: the tiebreaker. *J Pediatr Surg.* 2012;47:125-9.
 18. Henrich K, Huemmer HP, Reingruber B, Weber PG. Gastroschisis and omphalocele: treatments and long-term outcomes. *Pediatr Surg Int.* 2008;24:167-73.
 19. Minutillo C, Rao SC, Pirie S, McMichael J, Dickinson JE. Growth and development outcomes of infants with gastroschisis at one year of age: a retrospective study. *J Pediatr Surg.* 2013; 48:1688-96.
 20. van Manen M, Hendson L, Wiley M, Evans M, Taghaddos S, Dinu I. Early childhood outcomes of infants born with gastroschisis. *J Pediatr Surg.* 2013; 48:1682-7.
 21. Owen A, Marven S, Johnson P, Kurinczuk J, Spark P, Draper ES, et al. Gastroschisis: a national cohort study to describe contemporary surgical strategies and outcomes. *J Pediatr Surg.* 2010 ;45:1808-16.
 22. Emil S, Canvasser N, Chen T, Friedrich E, Su W. Contemporary 2-year outcomes of complex gastroschisis. *J Pediatr Surg.* 2012 ;47:1521-8.
 23. Arnold MA, Chang DC, Nabaweesi R, Colombani PM, Bathurst MA, Mon KS, et al. Risk stratification of 4344 patients with gastroschisis into simple and complex categories. *J Pediatr Surg.* 2007 ;42:1520-5.
 24. Singh SJ, Fraser A, Leditschke JF, Spence K, Kimble R, Dalby-Payne J, et al. Gastroschisis: determinants of neonatal outcome. *Pediatr Surg Int.* 2003 ;19:260-5.

Address for Correspondence*:

Dr Amit Trivedi, Grace Centre for Newborn Care, The Children's Hospital at Westmead, Locked Bag 4001, Westmead NSW 2145. Tel: +61 2 9845 2723; Fax +61 2 9845 0559;

E mail: amit.trivedi@health.nsw.gov.au

© 2015, Journal of Neonatal Surgery

Submitted: 03-03-2015

Accepted: 23-03-2015

Conflict of interest: None

Source of Support: Nil

How to cite: Gupta V, Trivedi A, Walker K, Holland AJA. Neurodevelopmental outcome of infants with gastroschisis at one-year follow-up. *J Neonat Surg.* 2015; 4:12.