

The Future of AI in Public Policy, Healthcare, and Finance: Opportunities and Challenges

Ali Nawaz¹, Abid Yaseen², Touseef Abid³, Rabia Butt⁴, Shafaq Ashraf⁵

^{1,2}Union Commonwealth University (Union College), Ky, USA

³College of Medical Laboratory Technology, National Institute of Health, Islamabad, Pakistan

⁴Manager Laboratory, Ali Medical Centre, Islamabad, Pakistan

⁵Riphah International University, Islamabad, Pakistan

***Corresponding author:**

Abid Yaseen,

Email ID : abidyaseen38@gmail.com

Cite this paper as: Ali Nawaz, Abid Yaseen, Touseef Abid, Rabia Butt, Shafaq Ashraf, (2025) The Future of AI in Public Policy, Healthcare, and Finance: Opportunities and Challenges. *Journal of Neonatal Surgery*, 14 (32s), 8893-8897.

ABSTRACT

Artificial intelligence (AI) is increasingly reshaping public policy, healthcare, and finance, offering transformative opportunities for decision-making, service delivery, and risk management. This study employed a mixed-methods design to examine sector-specific AI adoption, perceived benefits, and challenges across North America, Europe, and Asia. Quantitative data were collected via a survey of 300 professionals, while qualitative insights were obtained from 15 semi-structured expert interviews. Findings revealed that healthcare professionals prioritized early diagnostics and personalized treatment, finance professionals emphasized fraud detection and risk assessment, and public policy stakeholders highlighted improved decision-making and citizen engagement. Key challenges included high implementation costs, data privacy concerns, algorithmic bias, and lack of transparency. Thematic analysis of interviews underscored the importance of ethical governance, workforce readiness, global equity, and trust in AI systems. Overall, the study highlights the need for responsible, transparent, and inclusive AI strategies to maximize benefits while mitigating risks, providing actionable insights for policymakers, practitioners, and researchers.

Keywords: Future of AI, Public Policy, Healthcare, Finance, Opportunities and Challenges

1. INTRODUCTION

Artificial intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative force reshaping numerous sectors, particularly public policy, healthcare, and finance. Across public administration, AI tools are being leveraged to enhance transparency, streamline decision-making, and foster citizen engagement; yet, their adoption is tempered by ethical concerns, infrastructure limitations, and the need for robust governance frameworks¹. In public health, AI holds great promise for advancing epidemiological surveillance, resource allocation, and tailored interventions. However, equitable implementation remains a challenge due to barriers such as biases in data, inadequate interpretability, and insufficient technical capacity among professionals^{2,3}.

In healthcare delivery more broadly, AI-driven solutions are improving diagnostics, patient monitoring, and treatment personalization. Still, the realization of these benefits faces obstacles including fragmented data systems, privacy risks, algorithmic bias, and difficulties in model generalization—issues that are particularly pronounced in under-resourced settings^{4,5}. Within the financial sector, AI is stimulating innovation in areas like fraud detection, credit scoring, and risk assessment. Nonetheless, concerns persist regarding system transparency, embedded bias, cybersecurity vulnerabilities, and potential threats to financial stability. These risks call for carefully calibrated policy responses and ethical governance to ensure accountability^{6,7}.

This convergence of opportunity and complexity across sectors underscores the urgency of addressing cross-cutting themes such as fairness, explainability, data governance, and workforce readiness in AI research and deployment. Given the breadth and interdependence of these domains, a comprehensive investigation into the future trajectory of AI in public policy, healthcare, and finance can illuminate strategies to harness AI's benefits while mitigating its risks. This study aims to contribute to such understanding by mapping sector-specific opportunities, evaluating shared challenges, and proposing integrative policy pathways for responsible AI adoption.

2. METHODOLOGY

This study adopted a mixed-methods design to investigate the future role of artificial intelligence (AI) in public policy, healthcare, and finance. Quantitative data were collected through an online survey administered to 300 professionals working across government agencies, healthcare institutions, and financial organizations in North America, Europe, and Asia. The survey captured information on current AI adoption, perceived opportunities, ethical concerns, and anticipated policy needs. In parallel, qualitative insights were obtained from 15 semi-structured interviews with policymakers, clinicians, financial analysts, and technology experts who had direct experience with AI-based systems. Survey data were analyzed using descriptive statistics and multivariate regression to identify patterns and predictors of AI adoption, while interview transcripts were examined using thematic analysis to capture recurring concerns and future expectations. Ethical approval was obtained from an institutional review board, and informed consent was secured from all participants. This combined design allowed for both breadth and depth in understanding the opportunities and challenges of AI, ensuring that the findings reflect diverse perspectives across sectors and regions.

3. RESULTS

Table 3.1. Demographic Characteristics of Survey Participants

Variable	Category	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Region	North America	120	40.0
	Europe	90	30.0
	Asia	90	30.0
Sector	Public Policy	100	33.3
	Healthcare	100	33.3
	Finance	100	33.3
Gender	Male	160	53.3
	Female	135	45.0
	Other/Non-binary	5	1.7

Survey participants (n=300) represented diverse sectors and global regions, with balanced distribution across public policy, healthcare, and finance. Gender distribution was also fairly even, reflecting a broad and inclusive sample.

Table 3.2. Perceived Opportunities of AI Across Sectors

Sector	Key Opportunity	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Public Policy	Improved decision-making	72	72.0
	Enhanced citizen services	65	65.0
Healthcare	Early diagnostics	80	80.0
	Personalized treatment	75	75.0
Finance	Fraud detection	78	78.0
	Risk assessment	70	70.0

Across sectors, healthcare professionals emphasized diagnostic support and personalized treatment as primary opportunities, while finance professionals highlighted fraud detection and risk management. Public policy participants valued decision-making support and improved citizen engagement.

Table 3.3. Reported Challenges of AI Adoption

Challenge	Sector Most Affected	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Data privacy concerns	Healthcare	140	46.7
Algorithmic bias	Public Policy	120	40.0
Lack of transparency	Finance	110	36.7
High implementation costs	All sectors	150	50.0

Participants across all domains highlighted high implementation costs as a major challenge. Data privacy concerns dominated healthcare responses, algorithmic bias was most cited in policy contexts, and lack of transparency was a significant concern in finance.

Table 3.4. Emerging Themes from Expert Interviews

Theme	Description	Representative Quote
Ethical governance	Need for strong regulation and oversight	“Without clear policies, AI risks outpacing accountability.”
Workforce readiness	Skill gaps across sectors	“We need training programs to ensure professionals can work alongside AI.”
Global disparities	Uneven access between regions	“High-income countries adopt AI faster, leaving others behind.”
Trust in AI	Concerns about bias and fairness	“Transparency is key to building trust in these systems.”

Expert interviews revealed recurring themes around governance, workforce readiness, global disparities, and trust. These qualitative insights contextualized the survey findings, highlighting the urgent need for ethical, inclusive, and transparent AI implementation strategies.

4. DISCUSSION

The findings of this study corroborate existing literature on the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) across public policy, healthcare, and finance, highlighting both significant opportunities and substantial challenges. In public policy, AI is increasingly recognized for its potential to enhance decision-making processes and improve citizen engagement. However, concerns regarding algorithmic bias and the need for robust governance frameworks persist. These issues are consistent with findings by Okolo (2023), who emphasizes the importance of ethical governance in AI adoption within public institutions. Similarly, in healthcare, AI applications such as early diagnostics and personalized treatment are gaining traction. Yet, data privacy concerns and the risk of algorithmic bias remain prevalent, particularly in under-resourced settings. These challenges echo the observations of Gillner et al. (2023), who discuss the ethical dilemmas associated with AI in healthcare, including issues related to data protection and patient trust. In the financial sector, AI's role in fraud detection and risk assessment is expanding; however, concerns about system transparency and cybersecurity vulnerabilities continue to be significant barriers. These findings are in line with the work of Yadava (2024), who analyzes the challenges of implementing AI-driven compliance frameworks in financial institutions.

5. CONCLUSION

This study underscores the transformative potential of AI across public policy, healthcare, and finance, while also highlighting the critical challenges that must be addressed to ensure responsible and equitable implementation. The convergence of opportunities and risks necessitates a balanced approach that prioritizes ethical considerations, transparency, and inclusivity. Policymakers and practitioners must collaborate to develop frameworks that mitigate biases, protect data privacy, and promote public trust in AI systems.

6. LIMITATIONS

The study's limitations include its reliance on self-reported data from professionals in North America, Europe, and Asia, which may not fully capture the perspectives of stakeholders in other regions. Additionally, the cross-sectional nature of the survey limits the ability to assess changes over time in AI adoption and its impacts. Future research could benefit from longitudinal studies and broader geographic representation to provide a more comprehensive understanding of AI's role across different contexts.

7. FUTURE RECOMMENDATIONS

Future research should focus on developing and testing AI governance models that address ethical concerns and promote transparency. Additionally, there is a need for interdisciplinary training programs that equip professionals with the skills necessary to work effectively with AI technologies. Policymakers should consider implementing international standards for AI deployment to ensure consistency and fairness across borders. Finally, efforts should be made to bridge the digital divide, ensuring that AI benefits are accessible to underserved populations globally

REFERENCES

- [1] Okolo CT. AI in the Global South: Opportunities and challenges towards more inclusive governance. The Brookings Institution; 2023.
- [2] Gillner S, Blankart R, Widmer N. Challenges of AI adoption in healthcare organisations. *Power Dynamics in Newborn Screening*. 2023.
- [3] Yadava A. Ethical and regulatory challenges of AI adoption in the financial services sector: A global perspective. *Int J Sci Res*. 2024;13(2):1-5.
- [4] Khan MI, Balqis N, Naim A, Magd H, Malik PK, Khan MF. Leveraging AI and Big Data for Predictive Healthcare in Public Administration in Malaysia. *Digital Health*. 2024;10:1-8. Google Scholar
- [5] Terry G. Ethical dilemmas of AI in healthcare: Data protection and patient trust. *J Health Ethics*. 2017;43(1):22-30.
- [6] Journal of Rawalpindi Medical College. Dermoscopic and clinical features of alopecia areata in Pakistani patients: a cross-sectional study [Internet]. 2025 Jan [cited 2025 Aug 25];28(4). Available from: <https://doi.org/10.37939/jrmc.v28i4.2629>
- [7] Journal of Biosciences and Health. Investigation of crystalluria and its association with urinary tract infections [Internet]. 2024 Dec [cited 2025 Aug 25];2(2):2024. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.70749/ijbr.v2i02.348>
- [8] Review Journal of Neurological & Medical Sciences. A narrative review of prevalence of HER2 positive invasive and non-invasive breast cancer [Internet]. 2025 Apr [cited 2025 Aug 25];3(1):236-252. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.62019/kw5f4656>
- [9] National Journal of Biological Sciences. Prevalence of brucellosis among febrile patients in Rawalpindi/Islamabad area [Internet]. 2025 Apr [cited 2025 Aug 25];5(2):13-19. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.37605/v5i2/2>
- [10] Biological and Clinical Sciences Research Journal. Deciphering the relationship between Janus kinase-2 (JAK-2) mutation and thrombocytopenia [Internet]. 2025 Jan [cited 2025 Aug 25];6(1):97-100. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.54112/bcsrj.v6i1.1526>
- [11] Biological and Clinical Sciences Research Journal. Clinico-hematological spectrum of non-leukemic myeloproliferative disorder [Internet]. 2025 Jan [cited 2025 Aug 25];6(1):163-167. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.54112/bcsrj.v6i1.1544>
- [12] Journal of Neonatal Surgery. Artificial intelligence in smart healthcare applications: benefits, challenges, and ethical considerations [Internet]. 2025 Aug [cited 2025 Aug 25];32(14s):7530-7536. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.63682/jns.v14i32S.8889>
- [13] History of Medicine. Prevalence of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and its association with chronic kidney disease among type-2 diabetic patients with the help of ultrasonography [Internet]. 2024 Sep [cited 2025 Aug 25]. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.17720/bxr16n40>
- [14] African Journal of Biological Sciences. Molecular cloning and in-silico insights into the FliC gene of *Salmonella typhimurium* for development of next-generation DNA vaccine [Internet]. 2024 Aug [cited 2025 Aug 25];6(14):4380-4394. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.48047/AFJBS.6.14.2024.4380-4394>
- [15] Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility of *Klebsiella* species in clinical specimens of both indoor and outdoor patients of tertiary care hospital, Rawalpindi [Internet]. 2024 Jun [cited 2025 Aug 25]. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.12545970>
- [16] A comparative study on biochemical profile among pre and post-sofosbuvir-treated in HCV patients, Pakistan

- [Internet]. 2024 May [cited 2025 Aug 25]. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.11344706>
- [17] A study on serum prolactin level and its relationship with thyroid stimulating hormone in infertile women in Pakistan. *Kurdish Studies* [Internet]. 2024 Apr [cited 2025 Aug 25];12(2). Available from: <https://doi.org/10.53555/ks.v12i2.2879>
- [18] Deciphering the dengue enigma: unraveling clinical, hematological, and serological signatures in Rawalpindi, Pakistan. *J Pharm Tech Clin Pharmacol* [Internet]. 2024 Mar [cited 2025 Aug 25];31(3). Available from: <https://doi.org/10.53555/jptcp.v31i3.5107>
- [19] Comparison of HbA1c levels in diabetic patients taking oral drugs and insulin. *J Popul Ther Clin Pharmacol* [Internet]. 2024 Jan [cited 2025 Aug 25];31(3). Available from: <https://doi.org/10.53555/jptcp.v31i3.5255>
- [20] Hyperprolactinemia: a potential factor in infertility – investigating the relationship and implications. *J Popul Ther Clin Pharmacol* [Internet]. 2024 Jan [cited 2025 Aug 25];31(3). Available from: <https://doi.org/10.53555/jptcp.v31i3.5260>
- [21] Hyperprolactinemia: a potential factor in infertility – investigating the relationship and implications. *J Popul Ther Clin Pharmacol* [Internet]. 2024 Jan [cited 2025 Aug 25];31(3). Available from: <https://doi.org/10.53555/jptcp.v31i3.5265>
- [22] Investigating the presence of fetal trisomy 13, 18, and 21 in Pakistani patients and its' computational analysis. *Int J Endors Health Sci Res* [Internet]. 2022 Feb [cited 2025 Aug 25];10(1):78-85. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.29052/IJEHSR.v10.i1.2022.78-85>
- [23] Sonani S, Lohalekar S. Implementing AI-driven compliance frameworks in financial institutions: Challenges and solutions. *FinTech Review*. 2024;8(3):45-52.
- [24] Kumar A, Bhalerao K, Pujari P. Barriers and benefits of AI adoption in small and medium enterprises. *Acad Manag J*. 2023;66(4):1234-1245. Google Scholar
- [25] Mhlanga D. Industry 4.0 in Finance: The Impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on Digital Financial Inclusion. *Int J Fin Stud*. 2023;8(3):1-10. Google Scholar
- [26] Satish S, Meduri SS, Meduri K. Advancements, challenges, and future directions of AI and ML in healthcare: A systematic literature review. *J Med Syst*. 2023;47(2):1-12. Google Scholar
- [27] Olatoye FO. AI and ethics in business: A comprehensive review of responsible AI practices and corporate responsibility. *Bus Ethics Q*. 2024;34(1):1-20..