Journal of Neonatal Surgery
ISSN(Online): 2226-0439
Vol. 14, Issue 8 (2025)
https://www.jneonatalsurg.com

OPEN ACCESS

Turning Failures into Foundations: Triumphs in Dental Implantology

Rohit Nandan[1]*, Madhurima Sharma[2], Rohit Sharma[3], Nikita Tomar[4], Raina Agarwal[5], Samra Ashraf[6], Kshitiz Tyagi [7], Amisha Agarwal[8]

[1]Rohit Nandan, Post Graduate Student, Department of Prosthodontics and Crown & Bridge, Teerthanker Mahaveer Dental College & Research Centre, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India.

[2]Madhurima Sharma , Professor, Department of Prosthodontics and Crown & Bridge, Teerthanker Mahaveer Dental College & Research Centre, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India.

Email ID : doctormadhurima@gmail.com

[3]Rohit Sharma , Professor, Department of conservative dentistry and endodontics, Teerthanker Mahaveer Dental College & Research Centre, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India. Email ID: rohit.s.0312@gmail.com

[4]Nikita Tomar , Senior Lecturer, Department of Prosthodontics and Crown & Bridge, Teerthanker Mahaveer Dental College & Research Centre, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India. Email ID: 1310nikita@gmail.com

[5]Raina Agarwal, Post Graduate student, Department of Periodontology and Implantology, Babu Banarasi Das college of dental sciences, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India. Email ID: drrainnaagarwal@gmail.com

[6]Samra Ashraf, Post Graduate Student, Department of Prosthodontics and Crown & Bridge, Teerthanker Mahaveer Dental College & Research Centre, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India.

Email ID: ashraf.samra@gmail.com

[7]Kshitiz Tyagi ,Post Graduate Student , Department of Prosthodontics and Crown & Bridge, Teerthanker Mahaveer Dental College & Research Centre, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India.

Email ID: kshitiztyagi2400@gmail.com

[8]Amisha Agarwal , Post Graduate Student , Department of Prosthodontics and Crown & Bridge, Teerthanker Mahaveer Dental College & Research Centre, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India..

Email ID: amishaagarwal0225@gmail.com

Corresponding author:

Rohit Nandan

Email ID: rohitsingh877@gmail.com

.Cite this paper as: Rohit Nandan, Madhurima Sharma, Rohit Sharma, Nikita Tomar, Raina Agarwal, Samra Ashraf, Kshitiz Tyagi, Amisha Agarwal, (20yy Turning Failures into Foundations: Triumphs in Dental Implantology. *Journal of Neonatal Surgery*, 14 (8), 667-678.

ABSTRACT

Dental implants are an innovative solution in dental restoration, providing a reliable and long-lasting replacement for missing teeth. Despite high success rates, failures continue, leading to significant clinical challenges. This article explores the causes and treatment of dental implant failure. We categorise failure into early and late stages and identify key contributing factors, including surgical trauma, infection, occlusal overload, and systemic health issues. Treatment strategies include a thorough diagnosis, careful removal of the failed implant, implant bed preparation, and reimplantation. Preventive measures such as proper patient evaluation, surgical technique, regular follow-up examinations, and patient education are also emphasised. Understanding the causes of implant failure and effective treatments can significantly improve patient outcomes and implant longevity

Keywords: Dental Implant Failure, Implant Stability, Re-implantation, Bone Loss...

1. INTRODUCTION:

Dental inserts have gotten to be a foundation of present-day dentistry, giving a lasting, tastefully satisfying arrangement to tooth misfortune. The presentation of dental inserts spoken to a major headway within the field of helpful dentistry, giving patients with a solid, long-lasting elective to conventional dentures and bridges Titanium inserts can end up irreversibly coordinates into bone, making it incomprehensible to isolated them without break. This contact was alluded to as osseointegration, which alludes to a coordinate bone-to-metal interaction without the mediation of delicate tissue as watched beneath an optical magnifying lens. Osseointegration is depicted as "a coordinate basic and useful association between requested living bone and the surface of a load-carrying embed

The cellular reaction after implantation is decided by embed surface properties, steadiness, and warm harm to the have bone. Bone recuperating encompassing inserts is characterized by a arrangement of cellular and extracellular biological events that

come full circle within the implant's complete embedment in bone. The to begin with natural component to form contact with the embed surface is blood and blood cells from the encompassing vasculature. These blood cells are invigorated, discharging cytokines and other development and separation specialists onto and encompassing the embed. Platelets experience biochemical and morphological changes upon contact with the embed surface, counting grip, spreading, and accumulation. They advance phosphotyrosine, raise intracellular calcium, and hydrolyze phospholipids to deliver a fibrin lattice that administers cell grip and mineral binding. This network serves as a system for osteogenic cells emigrate and separate, coming about in osteoid and trabecular bone (osteoconduction), which is able inevitably redesign into lamellar bone around the embed surface. The embed surface's capacity to preserve fibrin connection amid the early stage is basic in choosing whether moving cells reach the fibrin clot. Roughened surfaces encourage osteoconduction. The chemistry of the embed surface moreover impacts osteoconduction; for illustration, hydrophilic embed surfaces have higher osteoconduction rates than hydrophobic ones. [1-5].

2. REVIEW:

Variables That Decide Osseointegration

Numerous variables impact the creation and conservation of bone on the embed surface

1.Biocompatibility of implant materials:

Commercially immaculate titanium (CpTi) is utilized as an embed fabric since it is exceedingly biocompatible, has great erosion resistance, has no poisonous quality on macrophages or fibroblasts, has no fiery reaction in peri-implant tissues, and is composed of an oxide layer that can repair itself through re-oxidation when harmed. Ti-6Al-4 V (Aluminum 6% and Vanadium 4%) amalgams, as well as other Titanium combinations free of aluminum and vanadium, are broadly utilized. Right now, a Ti-Zr amalgam (titanium 83-87% and zirconium 13-17%) has been presented, with mechanical qualities prevalent to CpTi and Ti-6Al-4 V.

[6,7]

2.Implant geometry - The design of the implant influences both the surface area accessible for stress exchange and its initial stability. Implants were previously available in cylindrical shapes, but the majority are now screw-shaped (threaded). Threaded implants with a circular cross-section facilitate surgical implantation and give a wider functional surface area. These implants provide initial mechanical fixation while restricting micromovement during wound healing. The shape of the threads influences the force transmitted to the bone; they might be square, V-shaped, or reverse buttress-shaped. The thread depth enhances the implant's surface area. The length of the implant adds to the total surface area. Increasing the length within specific restrictions improves bone-to-implant contact, which is critical. Shorter implants are now only recommended under stringent conditions, preferably when supported by other implants.

Regarding implant width, broader implants provide more surface area for osseointegration, however the width is determined by considerations relating to the surgical location. Implants with shorter lengths and smaller diameters have a poorer survival rate compared to longer and wider implants. Longer implants are thought to provide more stability under lateral stress circumstances [8].

3 Surface Properties – When exposed to oxygen, titanium and its alloys develop an oxide layer (TiO_2) on the surface. This oxide layer not only protects against corrosion, but it also allows for the flow of calcium and phosphate ions, which are required for bone formation and integration. The surface has been changed to promote micro-roughness, increasing the available surface area for osseointegration. Additional treatments include titanium plasma spraying, hydroxyapatite coating, discrete crystal deposition (DCD), and electrochemical anodization (to thicken the TiO_2 layer). These mechanisms improve the surface contact between the implant and the bone, resulting in better osseous integration. Subtractive techniques have also been utilized to increase micro-roughness, resulting in better bone integration. Sandblasting, acid etching, and laser modification are all examples of subtractive processes. Sandblasting produces a macro-texture, which is then polished into a micro-texture via acid etching. This enhanced surface facilitates better early bone contact than plasma spray-coated implants. In addition, fluoride treatment roughens the titanium surface and increases bone attachment when compared to unmodified titanium surfaces. Ongoing research is aimed at creating biomimetic implant surfaces that can reduce healing durations and improve bone contact, resulting in speedier osseointegration [9,10].

4. Systemic components: Radiation, osteoporosis, smoking, and diabetes are not definite contraindications to implantation, but they can disrupt the natural healing process and osseointegration. Heavy smoking drastically lowers the success percentage of dental implants. The amount of bone left accessible for effective implantation might also influence the outcome [11,12].

5. Surgical procedure: The extent of tissue manipulation, thermal damage caused by rotational friction, and surgical technique can influence osseointegration outcomes [13].

6. Occlusal loading: During the early healing stage, the absence of micro-movements is crucial for the osseointegration of the implant. Different loading protocols are chosen based on the primary stability achieved. The amount of force and the timing of its application are critical for osseointegration [14].

Despite a high success rate of 90-95%, dental implants can still fail and pose significant clinical challenges. Understanding the causes of these failures and implementing effective treatment strategies is essential to improve patient outcomes and ensure implant longevity [1]

Implant failures can be broadly categorized into early and late failures. Early failures typically occur within the first few months after implantation and are often due to problems with the osseointegration process. Late failures, on the other hand, occur after successful osseointegration and are frequently caused by peri-implant disease, occlusal overload, and systemic health issues. By understanding the underlying causes and implementing appropriate preventive and corrective measures, clinicians can improve the success rate of dental implants and enhance patient satisfaction [2].

Etiology of Implant Failure

Timeframe for aetiology of implant failure: Table 1[3-8]

Table 1: Timeframe for aetiology of implant failure		
Early Implant Failure	(0-3 Months)	Early implant failure often occurs before osseointegration is complete. Common causes include surgical trauma, infection, and insufficient primary stability.
Early Loading failure	(3-6 Months)	Failure during this period may result from biomechanical overload, especially if the implant is placed in an area with poor bone quality or quantity.
Late Implant Failure	(6 Months - 1 Year)	Late failures are usually associated with peri-implantitis, systemic health issues, or biomechanical factors such as occlusal overload.
Long-Term Implant Failure	(1 Year and Beyond)	Implant failures occurring after the first year are often due to chronic peri-implantitis, systemic diseases, or biomechanical overload leading to bone loss and implant instability.

Early Failure

Early implant failure occurs within the first few months after implantation and is usually due to problems during the osseointegration phase. Osseointegration is the process by which the implant becomes firmly fixed into the jawbone and is a critical step in the stability and function of the implant. Factors that can lead to early failure include [9]

Surgical trauma: One of the critical factors affecting the success of dental implants is the management of surgical trauma, particularly in the drilling process. Excessive heat generated during drilling can lead to thermal damage of bone cells, disrupting the natural healing process and impeding successful osseointegration. This cellular damage can compromise the stability and longevity of the implant. To reduce these risks, therefore, controlled and low speed drilling should be used coupled with continuous, sufficient irrigation to cool the drill site. It also assists in reducing the production of heat thereby enhancing the bone viability and enhancing implant bone interface [10]

Immediate Loading: Immediate loading of an implant after its placement can hamper the osseointegration process. Osteointegration means a stable period that is necessary for the formation of bond between the bone tissue and the surface of the implant. Using load too early may cause micro-motions that could interfere with or destabilise the integration process and the implant may fail. Owing to the fact that the implants are sensitive to loading, immediate loading should only be done when there is maximum primary stability. This approach is largely applicable for situations where the bone quality is dense, the implant placement optimal and the anatomical features are ideal. Great care should be taken in evaluating these aspects in order to avoid jeopardizing the prognosis and survival of the implant when applying the immediate loading protocol [11].

Infection: Peri-implantitis is one of the early complications of dental implant and is a threat to the healing phase and the success of the implants. Various kinds of infection may cause inflammation and, therefore, resorption of the surrounding bone tissue, which is crucial for osseointegration of implants and may result in implant removal. To minimize this risk, care should be taken to ensure that the operative site is free from contamination, and that measures be taken to strictly follow surgical asepsis throughout the operation. Also, the right prophylactic antibiotics can be prescribed to lower bacterial contamination even more. Patient education on proper care of the implanted site, regular follow up visits after the surgery are equally effective in preventing infection and facilitating implant osseointegration. By doing this, the following preventive measures can be taken so as to increase the chances of having a favourable long-term outcome of the implant [12].

Late Failure

There are two types of late implant failures namely delayed implant failures and remote implant failures. These failures are often associated with the following factors

Peri-implantitis: Peri-implantitis is very common pathological state, which makes a significant contribution to the failure of dental implants at the later stages of the disease. This is an inflammation of the soft and hard tissues of the implant, which results in tissue necrosis, and bone resorption. Peri-implantitis is mainly caused by bacterial infection and worsened by poor oral hygiene that leads to bacterial colonization in the surrounding of the implant. In case of failure to treat this condition, the following complications may be realized; implant loosening or even implant loss. Peri-implantitis risk can be minimized and managed if adequate preventive measures are taken, frequent checkups are made, and initial signs are treated immediately [13].

Occlusal Overload: Occlusal overload is a condition whereby there is an application of excessive mechanical forces on a dental implant that results in microfracture of the bone surrounding the implant and subsequent bone resorption. Such a distribution of forces may lead to implant instability, and failure, affecting the longevity of the implant. There is a need to align the bite and to create prosthetic restorations that distribute the load placed on the implant to avoid occlusal stress. As can be seen, these factors should be paid much attention to during treatment planning and implant follow-up in order to prevent implant failures and complications [14].

Systemic Factors: Dental implants may be affected by other systematic diseases including diabetes, osteoporosis and autoimmune diseases. These conditions usually tend to disrupt the body capacity to heal and remodel bone tissues, which are fundamental in the anchorage and osseointegration of implants. For instance, Diabetes if not well managed will affect wound healing and increase susceptibility to infection while osteoporosis which affects bone density will compromise the stability of the implant. Autoimmune diseases are diseases that are characterized by an attack on the body's tissues and organs by the immune system and these diseases can also delay the process of healing and also contribute to implant failure. These diseases are challenging to manage because they need a thorough evaluation and treatment plan alteration in order to avoid adverse effects of the disease on implant treatment and increase the likelihood of implant success [15].

Poor Oral Hygiene: Failure to remove plaque is one of the leading causes of peri-implant diseases such as peri-implantitis that might cause the implant to become loose and less effective in the long run. Formation of plaque around the implant surface gives a fertile ground for pathogenic bacteria which cause inflammation, infection and bone resorption around the implant. This can in turn threaten the very stability of the implant and result in early or late implant failure. To avoid such complications, the patient should brush, floss, and use interdental cleaners as part of oral hygiene. Moreover, regular dental check-ups and professional cleaning are crucial for the identification of early signs of infection and maintaining the implant in proper shape. It is therefore important to educate the patient on how to take care of their mouth so as to prevent any issues which might affect the implants [16].

Diagnosis

Signs of implant failure: Table 2[17-23]

Table 2: Signs of implant failure		
Diagnostic Tool/Method	**Description**	**Signs of Implant Failure**
Clinical Examination	Visual and tactile inspection of the implant site and surrounding tissues	Redness, swelling, pus discharge, pain, implant mobility, gum recession, peri-implant pockets
Radiographic Imaging	X-rays (periapical, panoramic) and CBCT scans to evaluate bone levels and structures	Peri-implant radiolucency, bone loss >1-2 mm in the first year, or >0.2 mm annually after the first year
Peri-Implant Probing	Measuring pocket depths around the implant using a periodontal probe	Pocket depths >5 mm, bleeding on probing (BOP), pus on probing
Mobility Testing	Manual or electronic assessment of implant stability	Any detectable movement of the implant
Periotest/Osstell	Devices to measure implant stability and osseointegration (e.g., Periotest, Osstell ISQ)	Low ISQ values (<50), high Periotest values indicating poor stability
Bacterial Culture	Microbiological testing of peri-implant sulcus fluid	Presence of pathogenic bacteria (e.g., P. gingivalis, T. forsythia, T. denticola)
Histological Analysis	Biopsy and microscopic examination of peri-implant tissues	Signs of inflammation, fibrous encapsulation, lack of bone contact
Patient Symptoms	Patient-reported symptoms and experiences	Pain, discomfort, swelling, bleeding, difficulty chewing or biting

The initial stage in treatment is the correct diagnosis of implant failure. The assessment of implant failure requires clinical evaluation, radiographic imaging, and microbial culture. Common signs of implant failure include [9]

Mobility: Loosening is another major sign of failure and usually is a sign of poor integration of the implant and the bone. This may occur due to inadequate bone quality, infection or excessive mechanical loading of the implant that causes considerable bone resorption around the implant. When an implant is mobilized it cannot offer the stability required for function and therefore its long-term prognosis is poor. It is important to identify the implant mobility as soon as possible in order to avoid the worsening of the situation with the surrounding tissues and bone. In most cases, the patient needs a surgical intervention to resolve the problems and sometimes even the implant should be removed or replaced. It is critical to monitor the patient and respond to any signs of mobility on a frequent basis in order to maintain the health of the entire oral structure and the stability of the implants

Pain and Discomfort: Any pain or discomfort around the implant site especially when the area is touched or when chewing would be a sign that the implant has failed. This may be due to infection, inflammation or mechanical problems, for instance a loose implant or the lack of proper bone fixation. When the implant does not merge with the surrounding bone as it should, the patient may feel pain, looseness, and may progressively lose his or her bones. This is because early signs of pain should not be overlooked since they may be indications of other serious illnesses, which may arise in future. Prompt evaluation by diagnostic imaging and subsequent management of the cause of pain is important in order to avoid additional complications to the implant and neighbouring structures. This means that if pain is not treated early, it can cause damage to the implant and also have long term effects to the structures in the mouth

Radiographic Signs: One of the chief clinical signs that point to bone loss or infection is radiolucent zone around the implant seen on radiographs. This dark area on the X-ray means that there is bone loss around the implant which can be due to inflammation, peri-implantitis or poor osseointegration. This may pose a serious threat to the implant since it may lead to implant failure for those that are not treated as soon as the resorption occurs. Radiographic images are used to diagnose these problems in their incipient stages and when clinical symptoms such as pain or immobility are not yet present. Radiographic evaluations should be conducted periodically to detect any issues, to perform intervention before more bone loss occurs and to salvage the implant for better prognosis in the future [23].

Suppuration: Any signs of pus or discharge from the implant site are suggestive of infection and normally imply implant failure. Peri-implantitis is linked to suppuration or other sorts of bacterial infections around the implant that cause inflammation and tissue necrosis. This condition can lead to bone resorption and the integrity of the implant may become threatened and its durability compromised. The pus discharge is a signal that the infection needs to be treated, the tissue damaged needs to be resolved, and the implant may be salvaged. If not treated early; cleaning, decontamination or removal and replacement of the implant may be required to prevent the spread of the infection and promote oral health [17].

Removal and Site Preparatio

In some cases, dental implant failure happens and the only solution is extraction in order to prevent additional issues such as infections, bone decay or injury to the neighbouring tissues. The purpose of the removal process is to retain as much of the surrounding bone structure in order for them to use it for future implant placement or other restorative work. Based on the causes of failure and the status of the adjacent tissue, there are different methods of implant removal. These methods may include [24] :

Trephine Burs: The same trephine burs can be applied to remove the implant with little or no bone loss. These burs are incised around the implant to make it easy to remove the implant without causing much harm to the bone [24].

Reverse Torque: Applying reverse torque can help unscrew the implant without causing significant bone damage. This technique is particularly useful when the implant is not fully integrated [24].

Piezoelectric Surgery: Piezoelectric surgery involves use of ultrasonic waves to disengage the implant without causing much damage to the surrounding tissues. The site has to be vigorously scrubbed, and all infected or inflamed tissue has to be removed after the implant has been taken out. If there is severe bone resorption, it will be necessary to perform bone grafting in order to obtained sufficient quantity of bone tissue for future implantation [25,26].

Re-implantatio

Re-implantation can be considered once the site has healed, typically after a few months. Key considerations for successful re-implantation include [27].

Improved Surgical Technique: Using atraumatic techniques and ensuring precise implant placement can minimize bone damage and enhance osseointegration [28].

Enhanced Osseointegration: Surface-treated implants, such as those with roughened or coated surfaces, can promote better osseointegration and increase the likelihood of success.

Optimal Loading Protocols: Adhering to appropriate loading protocols, including delayed loading, when necessary, can help prevent premature loading and improve implant stability [29].

Radiological assessment: Current imaging technologies can improve implant success and satisfaction. When choosing projections, consider the location, surrounding anatomy, size, and number of implants. Cone-beam computed tomography imaging is the preferred approach for implant placement due to its ability to produce high-quality diagnostic images quickly. When selecting an imaging modality for implants, it's important to consider the situation and the clinician's ability to interpret the picture [30].

Preventive Measures

Time frame for preventive measures: Table 3[30-34]

Table 3: Time frame for preventive measures			
Pre-Operative Phase			
1.	Comprehensive Patient Assessment	1-3 months before surgery	Thoroughly assess medical and dental history to identify risk factors such as smoking, diabetes, osteoporosis, or other systemic conditions.
2.	Diagnostic Imaging and Planning	1-3 months before surgery	Utilize radiographic imaging (CBCT scans) for detailed assessment of bone quality and quantity. Plan implant placement considering anatomical structures.
3.	Oral Hygiene Optimization	1-2 months before surgery	Implement a personalized oral hygiene regimen, including professional cleanings and patient education on maintaining oral health.
Peri-Operative Phase (Day of Surgery to 2 Weeks Post-Surgery)			
1.	Aseptic Surgical Technique	Day of surgery	Maintain strict aseptic conditions in the surgical environment to minimize the risk of infection.
2	Antibiotic Prophylaxis	Day of surgery to 1-week post-surgery	Administer pre- and post-operative antibiotics to reduce the risk of infection.

3.	Immediate Post-Operative Care	1-2 weeks post-surgery	Provide post-operative instructions for care, including soft diet, avoiding trauma to the surgical site, and using prescribed mouth rinses.
Early Post-Operative Phase (2 Weeks to 6 Months Post-Surgery)			
1.	Regular Follow-Up Visits	Every 2-4 weeks for the first 3 months, then monthly until 6 months	Monitor healing, assess soft tissue response, and check for signs of infection or implant instability.
2.	Occlusal Adjustment	As needed during follow-up visits	Ensure that the implant is not subjected to excessive occlusal forces by adjusting the bite.
Long-Term Maintenance Phase (6 Months and Beyond)			
1.	Ongoing Oral Hygiene Maintenance	Every 3-6 months	Continue professional cleanings and reinforce patient oral hygiene practices to prevent peri-implantitis.
2.	Annual Comprehensive Assessments	Annually	Conduct thorough evaluations of implant stability, bone levels, and overall oral health.

Preventive strategies are essential to minimize the risk of implant failure. These include:

Thorough Patient Assessment: Essential information that has to be considered when assessing a patient for implant treatment includes systemic health, quality of bone in the proposed implant site, and oral hygiene

Proper Surgical Technique: It is also important to drill accurately, irrigate sufficiently and place the implant correctly to minimize surgical damage and improve osseointegration.

Regular Follow-ups: Monitoring implants periodically through clinical and radiographic examinations can help detect early signs of complications and facilitate timely intervention.

Patient Education: To reduce the risks of implant failure patients should be advised on the need to brush their teeth, avoid too much force on the implant and to visit dentists for check-up [35,36].

3. CONCLUSIONS

Dental implants are a very effective treatment plan for restoring missing teeth. However, failures do occur and they may pose serious clinical problems. Knowledge of causes of implant failure both in the early and late periods is important in the formulation of management strategies. The success rates of dental implants can be improved by correct diagnosis, proper extraction of failed implants, adequate surface preparation, and thinking about re-implantation. It is crucial to avoid implant failure and achieve the best outcome, which requires the following: patient evaluation, correct surgical approach, follow-ups, and patient counselling. Clinician can enhance the patient's experience and outcomes of dental implant treatments by considering these factors

REFERENCES

[1] Albrektsson T, Zarb G, Worthington P, Eriksson AR. The long-term efficacy of currently used dental implants: a review and proposed criteria of success. Int j oral maxillofac implants. 1986 Jan 1;1(1):11-25.

[2] Berglundh, T., Persson, et al.: A systematic review of the incidence of biological and technical complications in implant dentistry reported in prospective longitudinal studies of at least 5 years. Journal of Clinical Periodontology. 29:197-212. 10.1034/j.1600-051x.29.s3.12.x

[3] Esposito M, Grusovin MG, Worthington HV: Interventions for replacing missing teeth: antibiotics at dental implant placement to prevent complications. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2013, 10.1002/14651858.CD004152.pub4

[4] Salvi GE, Bragger U: Mechanical and technical risks in implant therapy. The. International journal of oral & maxillofacial implants. 2009, 24:69-85.

[5] Cochran DL, Schenk RK, Lussi A, Higginbottom FL, Buser D. Bone response to unloaded and loaded titanium implants with a sandblasted and acid-etched surface: A histometric study in the canine mandible. Journal of Biomedical Materials Research: An Official Journal of The Society for Biomaterials, The Japanese Society for Biomaterials, and the Australian Society for Biomaterials. 1998 Apr;40(1):1-1.

[6] Renvert S, Polyzois I, Claffey N: Surgical therapy for the control of peri‚Äêimplantitis. Clinical oral implants research. 2012, 23:84-94. 10.3390/dj11030061

[7] Pjetursson BE, Br√§gger U, Lang NP, Zwahlen M: Comparison of survival and complication rates of tooth‚Äêsupported fixed dental prostheses (FDPs) and implant‚Äêsupported FDPs and single crowns (SCs). Clinical oral implants research. 2007, 18:97-113. 10.1111/j.1600-0501.2007.01439.x

[8] Misch CE, Bidez MW: Implant-protected occlusion: a biomechanical rationale. Compendium (Newtown, Pa. 1994, 15(11):1330-32.

[9] Kochar SP, Reche A, Paul P: The etiology and management of dental implant failure: a review. Cureus. 202219, 14:10.7759/cureus.30455

[10] Mishra SK, Chowdhary R: Heat generated by dental implant drills during osteotomy-a review: heat generated by dental implant drills. The. Journal of Indian Prosthodontic Society. 2014, 14:131-43. 10.1007/s13191-014-0350-6

[11] Tettamanti L, Andrisani C, Bassi MA, Vinci R, Silvestre-Rangil J, Tagliabue A: Immediate loading implants: review of the critical aspects. ORAL & implantology. 2017, 10:129. 10.11138/orl/2017.10.2.129

[12] Durand R, Kersheh I, Marcotte S, et al.: Do postoperative antibiotics influence one‚Äêyear peri‚Äêimplant crestal bone remodelling and morbidity? A double‚Äêblinded randomized clinical trial. Clinical Oral Implants Research. 2021, 32:1318-27. 10.1111/clr.13832

[13] Smeets R, Henningsen A, Jung O, Heiland M, Hamm√§cher C, Stein JM: Definition, etiology, prevention and treatment of peri-implantitis-a review. Head & face medicine. 2014, 10:1-3. 10.1186/1746-160X-10-34

[14] Sadowsky SJ: Occlusal overload with dental implants: a review. International journal of implant dentistry. 2019, 23:29. 10.1186/s40729-019-0180-8

[15] Dutta SR, Passi D, Singh P, Atri M, Mohan S, Sharma A: Risks and complications associated with dental implant failure: Critical update. National Journal of Maxillofacial Surgery. 20201, 11:14-9. 10.4103/njms.NJMS_75_16

[16] Chankhore P, Khubchandani SR, Reche A, Paul P: Prosthetic Design Factors Influencing Peri-Implant Disease: A Comprehensive Review. Cureus. 2023, 13:15. 10.7759/cureus.48737

[17] Lang NP, Berglundh T: Working Group 4 of the Seventh European Workshop on Periodontology. Periimplant diseases: where are we now?-Consensus of the Seventh European Workshop on Periodontology.

Journal of clinical periodontology. 2011, 38:178-81. 10.1111/j.1600-051X.2010.01674.x

[18] Misch CE: Contemporary implant dentistry. Implant Dentistry. 19991, 8:90.

[19] Meredith N: Assessment of implant stability as a prognostic determinant. International Journal of Prosthodontics. 1998, 1:11.

[20] Renvert S, Roos‚ÄêJans√•ker AM, Claffey N: Non‚Äêsurgical treatment of peri‚Äêimplant mucositis and peri‚Äêimplantitis: a literature review. Journal of clinical periodontology. 2008, 35:305-15. 10.1111/j.1600-051X.2008.01276.x

[21] Albrektsson T. Consensus report of session IV. InProceedings of the first European workshop on periodontology 1994 (pp. 365-369). Quintessence Publishing. DOI. 10.1111/j.1600-051X.2008.01283.x

[22] Albrektsson T, Zarb G, Worthington P, Eriksson AR: The long-term efficacy of currently used dental implants: a review and proposed criteria of success. Int j oral maxillofac implants. 19861, 1:11-25.

[23] Tomasi C: Derks J.Etiology, occurrence , and consequences of implant loss. Periodontology 2000. 2022, 88:13-35. 10.1111/prd.12408

[24] Tafuri G, Santilli M, Manciocchi E, Rexhepi I, D'Addazio G, Caputi S, Sinjari B: A systematic review on removal of osseointegrated implants: un update. BMC Oral Health. 2023 Oct. 13:756. 10.1186/s12903-023-03438-5

[25] Cheng FC, Wang CY, Chiang CP: Removal of failed implants by bone removal technique with a trephine bur: A case report. Journal of Dental Sciences. 2023, 18:1444. 10.1016/j.jds.2023.02.014

[26] Seshan H, Konuganti K, Zope S: Piezosurgery in periodontology and oral implantology. Journal of Indian Society of Periodontology. 2009, 1:155-6. 10.4103/0972-124X.60229

[27] Magrin GL, Sigua-Rodriguez EA, Goulart DR, Asprino L: Piezosurgery in bone augmentation procedures previous to dental implant surgery: a review of the literature. The open dentistry journal. 2015, 9:426. 10.2174/1874210601509010426

[28] Kang DW, Kim SH, Choi YH, Kim YK: Repeated failure of implants at the same site: a retrospective clinical study. Maxillofacial Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery. 2019, 41:1-9. 10.1186/s40902-019-0209-1

[29] Alfaraj TA, Al-Madani S, Alqahtani NS, et al.: Optimizing Osseointegration in Dental Implantology: A Cross-Disciplinary Review of Current and Emerging Strategies. Cureus. 2023, 30:15. 10.7759/cureus.47943

[30] Rathithya V, Vandana S, Reddy J: Dental Implant Imaging and Its Current Update: A Review. Annals of SBV. 20242, 12:43-8. 10.5005/jp-journals-10085-9121

[31] Greenstein G, Cavallaro J, Romanos G, Tarnow D: Clinical recommendations for avoiding and managing surgical complications associated with implant dentistry: a review. Journal of periodontology. 2008, 79:1317-29. 10.1902/jop.2008.070067

[32] Esposito M, Worthington HV, Coulthard P, Jokstad A: Interventions for replacing missing teeth: maintaining and re-establishing healthy tissues around dental implants. The. Cochrane database of systematic reviews. 2002, 1:003069. 10.1002/14651858.CD003069.pub4

[33] Romandini M, De Tullio I, Congedi F, et al.: Antibiotic prophylaxis at dental implant placement: Which is the best protocol? A systematic review and network meta‚Äêanalysis. Journal of clinical periodontology. 2019, 46:382-95. 10.1111/jcpe.13080

[34] Renvert S, Polyzois I, Claffey N: Surgical therapy for the control of peri‚Äêimplantitis. Clinical oral implants research. 2012, 23:84-94. 10.1111/j.1600-0501.2012.02554.x

[35] G√≥mez-de Diego R, Romero-P√©rez MJ, Cutando-Soriano A, L√≥pez-Valverde-Centeno A: Indications and contraindications of dental implants in medically compromised patients: update. Medicina oral, patologia oral y cirugia bucal. 2014, 19:483. 10.4317/medoral.19565

[36] Maheshwari R, Punia V, Khandelwal M, Sharma DV, Malot DS, Porwal DA: Implant failure and management: A review. Int J Appl Dent Sci. 2018, 4:293-8..