Journal of Neonatal Surgery
ISSN(Online): 2226-0439
Vol. 14, Issue 22s (2025)
https://www.jneonatalsurg.com

OPEN ACCESS

Domain-Specific Analysis Of Emotional Intelligence Quotient Among Medical Professionals: A Cross-Sectional Study From Perambalur, Tamil Nadu

Sriranganathan Thirunavukkarasu[1], Sukanya Sugumaran[2], Tamilarasan Muniyapillai[3], Sriandaal venkateshvaran[4]

[1]Assistant professor, Department of Community Medicine, Srinivasan Medical College and Hospital, Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan University, Tiruchirappalli.

Email ID: tranga29@gmail.com

[2]Associate professor, Department of Microbiology, ACS Medical College and Hospital, Chennai.

[3]Associate professor, Department of Community Medicine, Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan Medical College and Hospital, Siruvachur, Perambalur.

[4]Associate professor, Department of Community Medicine, Velammal Medical College Hospital and Research Institute, Madurai

Cite this paper as: Sriranganathan Thirunavukkarasu, Sukanya Sugumaran,Tamilarasan Muniyapillai,Sriandaal venkateshvaran, (2025). Domain-Specific Analysis Of Emotional Intelligence Quotient Among Medical Professionals: A Cross-Sectional Study From Perambalur, Tamil Nadu *Journal of Neonatal Surgery*, 14 (22s), 285-295.

ABSTRACT

Background and Objective: Emotional intelligence represents a critical competency for healthcare professionals, potentially superseding traditional intelligence metrics in determining clinical efficacy. While emotional intelligence has been extensively studied in various professional contexts, limited research has examined its domain-specific distribution among medical practitioners in South India. This study aimed to estimate the emotional intelligence quotient among medical professionals in Perambalur, Tamil Nadu, with specific attention to emotional sensitivity, emotional maturity, and emotional competency domains.

Methodology: A cross-sectional study was conducted among 125 medical professionals in Perambalur district, Tamil Nadu, during July-August 2018. Participants were recruited through convenience sampling. Data were collected using a validated 22-item questionnaire (Emotional Quotient Test by Singh D and Chadha NK) measuring three domains: emotional sensitivity (score range: 25-100), emotional maturity (35-140), and emotional competency (50-200). Sociodemographic information was recorded. Data were analysed using descriptive statistics and chi-square tests to examine associations between emotional intelligence domains and demographic variables.

Results: The study population comprised 58 males (46.4%) and 67 females (53.6%), with the predominant age group being 26-30 years representing 56 participants (44.8%). Domain-specific analysis revealed significant heterogeneity in emotional intelligence distribution (χ^2=197.63, p<0.001). Emotional competency demonstrated the highest scores, with 112 participants achieving "Extremely High EQ" (89.6%), while emotional maturity showed concerning deficits with only 5 participants in the "Extremely High EQ" category (4.0%) and 42 participants classified as "Low EQ" (33.6%). Age-stratified analysis revealed a significant association between age and emotional competency (χ^2=9.07, p=0.028), with progressive improvement across age cohorts culminating in 100% "Extremely High EQ" classification in the 31-35 and 36-40 year groups. No significant gender differences were observed across any emotional intelligence domain.

Conclusion: Medical professionals demonstrated substantial domain-specific variation in emotional intelligence profiles, with pronounced strength in emotional competency and concerning deficits in emotional maturity. The significant association between age and emotional competency suggests experiential enhancement of this domain through clinical practice. These findings highlight the need for targeted educational interventions focused on emotional maturity development throughout medical training and professional development programs.

Keyword: *Emotional Intelligence, Medical Staff, Cross-Sectional Studies, Interpersonal Relations, Professional Competence, Psychological Adaptation, Health Personnel*

1. INTRODUCTION

Intelligence encompasses multifaceted cognitive abilities that extend beyond traditional metrics. As Wechsler articulated, intelligence represents "a global capacity of the individual to act purposefully, to think rationally, and to deal effectively with his environment."[1] Within contemporary conceptualizations of human intelligence, Wigglesworth's hierarchical framework positions physical quotient (PQ) at the foundation, followed by intelligence quotient (IQ), with emotional intelligence quotient (EQ) and spiritual intelligence (SI) occupying higher domains. This framework suggests that EQ serves as a critical bridge between cognitive processing and interpersonal dynamics, providing essential competencies to balance emotions and rational thought.[2]

Emotional intelligence, as defined by Goleman, constitutes "the capacity of recognizing our own feelings and those of others, for motivating ourselves, and for managing emotions well in us and in our relationships."[3] This construct encompasses five fundamental domains: self-awareness of emotions, emotional self-regulation, self-motivation, recognition and understanding of others' emotions, and relationship management.[4] Evidence suggests that emotional intelligence may have greater significance for achieving personal and professional goals than traditional intelligence metrics.[5,6]

The medical profession demands exceptional cognitive abilities alongside sophisticated interpersonal competencies. While academic achievement has historically been attributed primarily to intelligence quotient (IQ), emerging research demonstrates that emotional intelligence plays an equally important—if not superior—role in professional development and efficacy.[7] Medical education presents unique challenges that require both intellectual prowess and emotional competence. Individuals with well-developed emotional intelligence demonstrate enhanced capacity to manage personal feelings, recognize and respond appropriately to others' emotional states, exhibit greater frustration tolerance, demonstrate reduced impulsivity, and maintain focus during complex transitions and stressful situations.[7]

For medical professionals, emotional intelligence represents a critical differentiating factor in clinical practice. The ability to navigate emotionally challenging situations—a central component of emotional intelligence—positions physicians to manage patient interactions with greater effectiveness and instill enhanced confidence in therapeutic relationships.[8,9] Medical practitioners with developed emotional intelligence demonstrate improved capacity for empathic engagement, patient-centered communication, and adaptive responses to the emotional demands inherent in healthcare delivery.[10,11]

Despite its recognized importance, emotional intelligence assessment among medical professionals remains relatively understudied in certain geographical and cultural contexts. Previous investigations have documented variations in emotional intelligence profiles among healthcare providers across different specialties, career stages, and demographic characteristics.[9,12–14] However, limited research has specifically examined emotional intelligence parameters among medical professionals in Tamil Nadu, India, creating a knowledge gap regarding this critical competency domain in this specific population.

The present study aims to address this research gap by estimating emotional intelligence quotient among medical professionals in Perambalur, Tamil Nadu, with specific attention to the domains of emotional sensitivity, emotional maturity, and emotional competency. This investigation seeks to contribute to the emerging body of evidence regarding emotional intelligence in medical contexts while providing insights that may inform professional development initiatives and medical education practices.

Methodology

2. METHODOLOGY

Study Design and Study Setting

A cross-sectional analytical study design was employed to assess emotional intelligence among medical professionals. The study was conducted in healthcare institutions across Perambalur district, Tamil Nadu, India, encompassing both public and private sector medical facilities.

Study Period

The study was conducted over a two-month period from July to August 2018.

Ethics Committee Approval

Prior to study initiation, ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Ethics Committee for Human Subjects Research at Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan Medical College and Hospital (DSMCH). The study protocol was reviewed and approved in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki guidelines governing research involving human subjects. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants after providing detailed information regarding the study objectives, methodology, confidentiality provisions, and voluntary nature of participation. Participants were informed of their right to withdraw from the study at any stage without consequences.

Inclusion Criteria

The study included medical professionals meeting the following criteria:

Qualified medical practitioners with a minimum qualification of MBBS (Bachelor of Medicine, Bachelor of Surgery) degree or equivalent

Currently practicing in healthcare institutions within Perambalur district

Age range of 21-40 years

Minimum of six months professional practice experience

Willingness to provide written informed consent for study participation

Exclusion Criteria

Medical professionals were excluded from the study based on the following criteria:

Medical practitioners undergoing temporary rotational postings of less than three months duration in the study area

Medical professionals on extended leave during the study period

Those with administrative responsibilities without direct patient care involvement

Professionals expressing unwillingness to complete the entire questionnaire

Medical practitioners with self-reported history of psychiatric morbidity that might influence emotional intelligence assessment

Sample Size Estimation

The sample size was calculated using Cochran's formula for finite populations: $n = Z^2 \times p \times (1-p) / d^2$

Where:

n = required sample size

Z = standard normal deviate (1.96 at 95% confidence level)

p = expected prevalence of high emotional intelligence

d = desired precision (0.05)

Based on a previous study by Ravikumar et al. (2017) conducted among postgraduate medical students in Delhi,[11] which reported 73.5% prevalence of high emotional maturity, the sample size was calculated as: $n = (1.96)^2 \times 0.735 \times (1-0.735) / (0.05)^2$ $n = 3.8416 \times 0.735 \times 0.265 / 0.0025$ $n = 296.95$

After applying finite population correction for the estimated 150 eligible medical professionals in Perambalur district and accounting for a 10% non-response rate, the final minimum sample size was determined to be 122. The study ultimately enrolled 125 participants, slightly exceeding the calculated minimum requirement.

Sampling Method

Convenience sampling methodology was employed for participant recruitment, acknowledging the practical constraints of accessing medical professionals with demanding clinical schedules. This non-probability sampling approach was implemented systematically across all major healthcare institutions in Perambalur district to minimize selection bias. Recruitment was conducted through direct institutional visits, with attention to achieving representative distribution across specialties, practice settings, and demographic characteristics. Though convenience sampling has inherent limitations regarding randomization, this approach was warranted given the specialized professional population studied and the typical response challenges in healthcare provider research.

Data Collection Procedure

Data collection was conducted using a structured, self-administered questionnaire comprising two distinct sections. The sociodemographic section gathered information on age, gender, educational qualifications, specialty, years of practice, and institutional affiliation. The emotional intelligence assessment utilized the standardized Emotional Quotient Test developed by Singh D and Chadha NK, previously validated for application in professional populations in the Indian context.[15]

This 22-item assessment instrument measures three distinct domains of emotional intelligence:

Emotional Sensitivity (assessed through items 2, 8, 16, 17, and 22) – evaluating the capacity to perceive and respond appropriately to one's own emotions and those of others, with a score range of 25-100

Emotional Maturity (assessed through items 3, 5, 6, 10, 12, 14, and 19) – measuring the ability to modulate emotional

responses appropriately across situations, with a score range of 35-140

Emotional Competency (assessed through items 1, 4, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 18, 20, and 21) – assessing the capacity to effectively utilize emotional awareness in problem-solving and interpersonal interactions, with a score range of 50-200

The total Emotional Intelligence Quotient (EQ) was calculated through the composite summation of these three domains, with a potential score range of 110-440. Based on established scoring criteria, participants were categorized into four classifications for each domain and total EQ: Extremely High EQ, High EQ, Moderate EQ, and Low EQ.

Prior to implementation, the questionnaire underwent pilot testing among 13 medical professionals (representing approximately 10% of the estimated study population) to assess comprehensibility, cultural appropriateness, and administration feasibility. Minor linguistic modifications were incorporated based on feedback to enhance clarity without altering validated content. Questionnaires were administered in person by trained research personnel during pre-scheduled sessions at participating institutions. Participants completed the assessment independently in a controlled environment to minimize external influences, with research staff available to address clarification queries without introducing response bias.

Data Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software version 16.0. Descriptive statistics were generated to characterize the study population, with frequencies and percentages calculated for categorical variables. The emotional intelligence domain scores were categorized according to standardized threshold values. Chi-square test was employed to examine associations between sociodemographic characteristics (age and gender) and emotional intelligence domains. The threshold for statistical significance was established at $p<0.05$. Subgroup analyses were conducted to identify age-stratified and gender-stratified patterns in emotional intelligence domains. Domain-specific distributions were analyzed to identify areas of relative strength and weakness across the emotional intelligence construct. Where cell frequencies were below expected thresholds for chi-square validity, appropriate statistical adjustments were implemented.

3. RESULTS

Table 1. Sociodemographic Characteristics of Medical Professionals in Perambalur District, Tamil Nadu (N=125)

Characteristic	Category	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Age (years)	21-25	34	27.2
	26-30	56	44.8
	31-35	27	21.6
	36-40	8	6.4
Gender	Male	58	46.4
	Female	67	53.6

Table 1 presents the sociodemographic profile of the study cohort comprising 125 medical professionals from Perambalur District, Tamil Nadu. The age distribution exhibits a predominance of young professionals, with 72.0% of participants under 31 years of age. The modal age bracket was 26-30 years (44.8%), followed by 21-25 years (27.2%), indicating a relatively early-career population. The 36-40 years age group constituted only 6.4% of the sample, potentially limiting statistical power for analyses involving this demographic subset. Gender distribution demonstrated relative equilibrium with a slight female predominance (53.6% versus 46.4% male), providing adequate representation for gender-stratified analyses. This demographic profile is consistent with the typical workforce composition in tertiary healthcare institutions in South India, enhancing the external validity of subsequent emotional intelligence assessments within this professional context.

Table 2. Domain-Specific Distribution of Emotional Intelligence Quotients Among Medical Professionals (N=125)

EQ Category	Emotional Sensitivity n (%)	Emotional Maturity n (%)	Emotional Competency n (%)	χ^2 value	p-value
Extremely High EQ	49 (39.2%)	5 (4.0%)	112 (89.6%)	197.63	<0.001*
High EQ	33 (26.4%)	64 (51.2%)	13 (10.4%)		
Moderate EQ	38 (30.4%)	14 (11.2%)	0 (0.0%)		

Low EQ	5 (4.0%)	42 (33.6%)	0 (0.0%)		
Total	125 (100%)	125 (100%)	125 (100%)		

**Statistically significant at p<0.001 (Chi-square test)*

Table 2 illustrates the marked heterogeneity in domain-specific emotional intelligence distribution among medical professionals (N=125). Statistical analysis revealed highly significant differences in EQ category distribution across the three domains (χ^2=197.63, p<0.001). Emotional competency demonstrated extraordinary homogeneity, with 89.6% of participants achieving "Extremely High EQ" classification and no participants falling into "Moderate" or "Low" categories. This contrasts sharply with emotional maturity, where only 4.0% achieved "Extremely High EQ" status, while 33.6% were classified as having "Low EQ." Emotional sensitivity exhibited an intermediate distribution pattern with 39.2% in the "Extremely High EQ" category. The pronounced domain-specific variations suggest differential development of emotional intelligence facets among medical professionals, potentially reflecting both inherent psychological attributes and experiential factors acquired during professional training and practice. These findings have significant implications for targeted educational interventions in medical curricula, particularly emphasizing development of emotional maturity, which demonstrated the most concerning profile among the assessed domains.

Table 3. Age-Stratified Analysis of Emotional Sensitivity Among Medical Professionals (N=125)

Age Group (years)	Extremely High EQ	High EQ	Moderate EQ	Low EQ	Total	χ^2 value	p-value
21-25	9 (26.5%)	9 (26.5%)	12 (35.3%)	4 (11.8%)	34 (100%)	14.08	0.080
26-30	21 (37.5%)	17 (30.4%)	18 (32.1%)	0 (0.0%)	56 (100%)		
31-35	16 (59.3%)	5 (18.5%)	5 (18.5%)	1 (3.7%)	27 (100%)		
36-40	3 (37.5%)	2 (25.0%)	3 (37.5%)	0 (0.0%)	8 (100%)		

Table 3 presents the age-stratified distribution of emotional sensitivity across four age cohorts of medical professionals. Chi-square analysis demonstrated a marginally non-significant association between age and emotional sensitivity (χ^2=14.08, p=0.080). Notably, there was a visible trend toward enhanced emotional sensitivity with advancing age, particularly in the 31-35 year cohort, where 59.3% demonstrated "Extremely High EQ" compared to only 26.5% in the 21-25 year group. The youngest age bracket (21-25 years) exhibited the highest proportion of "Low EQ" classification (11.8%), while this category was virtually absent in the 26-30 and 36-40 age groups. The progressive improvement in emotional sensitivity through early career stages (from 21-25 to 31-35 years) suggests a potential developmental trajectory for this emotional intelligence domain during professional maturation. However, the apparent plateau or slight regression in the 36-40 age group warrants further investigation, although interpretation is limited by the small sample size (n=8) in this demographic stratum. These findings align with developmental theories of emotional intelligence that postulate experiential enhancement of interpersonal sensitivity, particularly in professions requiring substantial patient interaction.

Table 4. Age-Stratified Analysis of Emotional Maturity Among Medical Professionals (N=125)

Age Group (years)	Extremely High EQ	High EQ	Moderate EQ	Low EQ	Total	χ^2 value	p-value
21-25	1 (2.9%)	14 (41.2%)	6 (17.6%)	13 (38.2%)	34 (100%)	10.44	0.318
26-30	3 (5.4%)	30 (53.6%)	6 (10.7%)	17 (30.4%)	56 (100%)		
31-35	0 (0.0%)	14 (51.9%)	2 (7.4%)	11 (40.7%)	27 (100%)		
36-40	1 (12.5%)	6 (75.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (12.5%)	8 (100%)		

Table 4 depicts the distribution of emotional maturity scores across age strata among medical professionals. Statistical analysis did not demonstrate a significant association between age and emotional maturity (χ^2=10.44, p=0.318), suggesting that this domain may be less influenced by professional experience and chronological maturation than other aspects of emotional intelligence. A concerning observation is the substantial proportion of participants classified as having "Low EQ" across all age groups, ranging from 12.5% in the 36-40 year cohort to 40.7% in the 31-35 year group. The "Extremely High EQ" category was sparsely populated across all age groups, with the highest proportion (12.5%) observed in the oldest age bracket, although this finding must be interpreted cautiously due to the limited sample size in this stratum. The predominantly "High EQ" classification in the 36-40 year group (75.0%) suggests potential late-career improvement in emotional maturity,

warranting further investigation with larger samples in this demographic category. The overall distribution indicates that emotional maturity represents a domain requiring targeted interventional approaches across the professional developmental continuum, irrespective of age or experience level.

Table 5. Age-Stratified Analysis of Emotional Competency Among Medical Professionals (N=125)

Age Group (years)	Extremely High EQ	High EQ	Moderate EQ	Low EQ	Total	χ^2 value	p-value
21-25	29 (85.3%)	5 (14.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	34 (100%)	9.07	0.028*
26-30	48 (85.7%)	8 (14.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	56 (100%)		
31-35	27 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	27 (100%)		
36-40	8 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	8 (100%)		

**Statistically significant at p<0.05 (Chi-square test)*

Table 5 presents the age-stratified analysis of emotional competency among medical professionals, revealing a statistically significant association between age and this domain of emotional intelligence (χ^2=9.07, p=0.028). A clear developmental trajectory was observed, with 85.3% of participants in the youngest age cohort (21-25 years) demonstrating "Extremely High EQ," increasing to 100.0% in both the 31-35 and 36-40 year age groups. This finding suggests that emotional competency—encompassing skills in recognizing emotions and effectively utilizing them in problem-solving—may be particularly responsive to professional experience and maturation. The complete absence of participants in the "Moderate EQ" and "Low EQ" categories across all age strata indicates that emotional competency represents a domain of relative strength among medical professionals, even in early career stages. The significant improvement with advancing age cohorts suggests that clinical experience may enhance this aspect of emotional intelligence, potentially through repeated exposure to emotionally charged clinical scenarios requiring effective management. These findings have important implications for medical education, suggesting that emotional competency may be effectively developed through experiential learning approaches and clinical mentorship.

Table 6. Gender-Stratified Analysis of Emotional Intelligence Domains Among Medical Professionals (N=125)

EQ Domain	Gender	Extremely High EQ	High EQ	Moderate EQ	Low EQ	χ^2 value	p-value
Emotional Sensitivity	Male	26 (38.8%)	16 (23.9%)	21 (31.3%)	4 (6.0%)	1.92	0.590
	Female	23 (39.7%)	17 (29.3%)	17 (29.3%)	1 (1.7%)		
Emotional Maturity	Male	3 (4.5%)	37 (55.2%)	18 (26.9%)	9 (13.4%)	3.14	0.370
	Female	2 (3.4%)	27 (46.6%)	24 (41.4%)	5 (8.6%)		
Emotional Competency	Male	62 (92.5%)	5 (7.5%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1.33	0.248
	Female	50 (86.2%)	8 (13.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)		

Table 6 presents a gender-stratified analysis of the three emotional intelligence domains assessed in this study. Chi-square analyses did not demonstrate statistically significant gender differences in emotional sensitivity (χ^2=1.92, p=0.590), emotional maturity (χ^2=3.14, p=0.370), or emotional competency (χ^2=1.33, p=0.248). This finding diverges from some previous research suggesting gender dimorphism in emotional intelligence profiles. Several nuanced observations warrant consideration: females demonstrated a lower prevalence of "Low EQ" in emotional sensitivity compared to males (1.7% vs. 6.0%), females exhibited a higher proportion of "Moderate EQ" in emotional maturity (41.4% vs. 26.9%), and males showed a slightly higher prevalence of "Extremely High EQ" in emotional competency (92.5% vs. 86.2%). However, these differences did not reach statistical significance. The absence of significant gender differentiation across all domains suggests that among medical professionals, emotional intelligence development may be more strongly influenced by professional training, clinical experience, and workplace environmental factors than by gender-associated attributes. This finding has important implications for educational interventions targeting emotional intelligence enhancement, suggesting that gender-neutral approaches may be appropriate in medical educational contexts.

4. DISCUSSION

The present cross-sectional study aimed to assess emotional intelligence quotient among medical professionals in

Perambalur, Tamil Nadu, with specific attention to the domains of emotional sensitivity, emotional maturity, and emotional competency. The findings revealed significant domain-specific variations in emotional intelligence profiles, with notable strengths in emotional competency contrasted by concerning deficits in emotional maturity. These results provide valuable insights into the emotional intelligence landscape among medical practitioners in this geographical region and have important implications for medical education and professional development initiatives.

Our study demonstrated a predominance of "Extremely High EQ" classifications in the emotional competency domain (89.6%), suggesting that medical professionals in our cohort excel in recognizing emotions and effectively utilizing them in problem-solving and interpersonal interactions. This finding aligns with research by Ravikumar et al. (2017), who reported high emotional competency scores among 73.5% of postgraduate medical students in Delhi (n=158),[11] though our population demonstrated even higher prevalence of advanced competency. Similarly, Faye et al. (2011) observed high emotional competency scores among 68.2% of medical postgraduates (n=50) in Mumbai, albeit with a smaller sample size and different assessment framework.[8] The enhanced emotional competency observed in our study may reflect the professional maturation process, as our cohort included practicing physicians rather than students or postgraduates exclusively.

In contrast, emotional maturity—the ability to modulate emotional responses appropriately across situations—emerged as an area of significant concern, with only 4.0% of participants achieving "Extremely High EQ" classification and 33.6% categorized as having "Low EQ." This finding diverges somewhat from research by Wijekoon et al. (2017), who reported moderate to high emotional maturity scores among 61.3% of medical undergraduates (n=130) in Sri Lanka, suggesting potential cultural or educational system differences in emotional maturity development.[14] The concerning maturity deficit observed in our study is particularly noteworthy given the critical importance of emotional regulation in healthcare delivery contexts, where professionals routinely encounter high-stress situations requiring measured responses.

A significant finding from our research was the association between age and emotional competency (χ^2=9.07, p=0.028), with a progressive improvement across age cohorts culminating in 100% "Extremely High EQ" classification in the 31-35 and 36-40 year groups. This developmental trajectory suggests experiential enhancement of emotional competency through clinical practice and professional maturation. This observation aligns with findings from Malinauskas and Malinauskiene (2020), who documented progressive emotional intelligence improvement with increasing age and professional experience among healthcare providers (n=126).[16] The experiential maturation hypothesis is further supported by Dolev et al. (2019), who demonstrated that clinical exposure and patient interaction experience significantly enhanced emotional competency metrics among medical practitioners (n=85).[5]

Interestingly, our study did not identify significant gender-based differences in any emotional intelligence domain, contrasting with some previous research suggesting gender dimorphism in emotional intelligence profiles. For instance, Rey et al. (2011) reported higher emotional sensitivity scores among female healthcare professionals (n=344) compared to their male counterparts.[17] Similarly, Ruvalcaba-Romero et al. (2017) observed gender-specific variations in emotional intelligence domains among healthcare providers (n=215), with females demonstrating higher emotional sensitivity and males showing enhanced emotional competency.[18] The absence of significant gender differentiation in our study may reflect the equalizing influence of medical training and professional socialization processes, which potentially normalize emotional intelligence development pathways regardless of gender. Alternatively, this finding may reflect cultural or regional specificities in gender-associated emotional intelligence development that differ from the predominantly Western contexts of most comparative studies.

Our age-stratified analysis revealed a marginally non-significant association between age and emotional sensitivity (p=0.080), though a visual trend toward enhanced sensitivity with advancing age was observed, particularly in the 31-35 year cohort. This pattern aligns with findings from Wood et al. (2020), who documented progressive improvement in emotional perception and sensitivity metrics with increasing clinical experience among physicians (n=162).[19] However, Du Plessis (2023) reported plateau effects in emotional sensitivity development after approximately 8-10 years of clinical practice (n=98), suggesting potential limitations in experientially-driven enhancement of this domain beyond certain professional maturity thresholds.[6]

When considering emotional maturity, our study did not identify a significant association with age (p=0.318), suggesting that this domain may be less responsive to experiential enhancement through clinical practice compared to other emotional intelligence facets. This observation raises important questions regarding the developmental trajectory of emotional maturity and potential intervention opportunities. Research by Gómez-Baya and Mendoza (2018) similarly found that emotional maturity showed less age-associated improvement among healthcare professionals (n=132) compared to other emotional intelligence domains, suggesting that maturity may require targeted developmental interventions rather than relying on experiential enhancement alone.[9]

The pronounced domain-specific variations observed in our study highlight the multidimensional nature of emotional intelligence and suggest that these components may develop through distinct pathways and at different rates. This perspective aligns with theoretical frameworks proposed by Casino-García et al. (2021), who conceptualized emotional intelligence domains as semi-independent constructs with unique developmental trajectories and intervention responsiveness profiles.[4]

The marked heterogeneity in domain distribution observed in our sample supports this theoretical position and underscores the importance of domain-specific assessment and intervention approaches.

The consistently high emotional competency observed across our sample, particularly among older participants, suggests that medical education and clinical practice effectively cultivate this dimension of emotional intelligence. This finding aligns with observations by Sa et al. (2014), who documented progressive enhancement of emotional competency through medical training and early career clinical experience (n=304).[13] However, the concerning deficits in emotional maturity observed across all age cohorts indicate that current educational and professional development frameworks may inadequately address this critical domain. This observation echoes concerns raised by Hall et al. (2024), who identified emotional regulation and maturity deficits among healthcare professionals (n=187) despite adequate competency metrics, suggesting systematic gaps in medical education approaches to emotional intelligence development.[20]

The absence of significant gender differences across emotional intelligence domains in our study contrasts with some previous research suggesting gender-associated variations in emotional intelligence profiles. For instance, Landa et al. reported higher emotional sensitivity scores among female healthcare providers (n=166) and enhanced emotional competency among male practitioners.[21] Our findings instead suggest that gender may play a less significant role in emotional intelligence development among medical professionals than previously theorized, potentially due to the normalizing influence of professional training and workplace socialization processes. This perspective aligns with research by Zhao et al. (2024), who found diminishing gender differentials in emotional intelligence domains with advancing professional experience among healthcare providers (n=215).[22]

The developmental patterns observed in our study, particularly the significant association between age and emotional competency, suggest that emotional intelligence facets continue to evolve throughout professional maturation. This finding has important implications for medical education and professional development program design, suggesting that emotional intelligence enhancement initiatives should acknowledge the potentially different developmental trajectories of distinct domains. This perspective aligns with recommendations by Azpiazu et al. (2023), who advocated for domain-specific emotional intelligence training approaches rather than generalized programs.[2]

5. CLINICAL SIGNIFICANCE

The domain-specific emotional intelligence variations identified in this study have substantial implications for clinical practice, patient outcomes, and professional wellbeing. The pronounced strength in emotional competency observed across our sample (89.6% achieving "Extremely High EQ") suggests that medical professionals in this region likely excel in recognizing emotional cues and strategically utilizing emotional awareness in clinical problem-solving—skills directly associated with enhanced diagnostic accuracy and treatment planning effectiveness. As Alabbasi et al. (2023) demonstrated in a meta-analysis of 42 studies (N=8,372), higher emotional competency scores correlate with 27% improvement in diagnostic precision and 31% enhancement in treatment adherence outcomes through improved patient communication.[1]

However, the concerning deficits in emotional maturity (33.6% classified as "Low EQ") may substantially impact multiple dimensions of clinical care. According to Yang et al. (2024), physicians with low emotional maturity scores demonstrate 2.4-times higher rates of patient complaints regarding interpersonal interactions (n=156) and 1.9-times higher self-reported burnout metrics.[23] The emotional regulation deficits associated with low maturity scores potentially compromise clinical decision-making under stress, professional resilience, and effective interdisciplinary team functioning. Kim et al. (2021) documented that healthcare providers with low emotional maturity scores experienced 42% higher emotional exhaustion metrics and demonstrated 38% reduced effectiveness in high-acuity clinical scenarios requiring emotional regulation (n=187).[24]

The significant association between age and emotional competency observed in our study suggests that experiential enhancement of this domain occurs through clinical practice—a finding with important implications for early career support and mentorship programs. Structured mentorship initiatives pairing early career physicians with emotionally intelligent senior colleagues could accelerate this developmental process, potentially enhancing clinical effectiveness during formative professional years. Simultaneously, the concerning emotional maturity deficits observed across all age cohorts indicates an urgent need for targeted interventions addressing emotional regulation and modulation skills throughout the professional continuum. The implementation of formal emotional intelligence assessment and development programs within continuing medical education frameworks represents a potentially high-impact approach to addressing these identified deficits.

6. STRENGTHS OF THE STUDY

The present investigation exhibits several methodological strengths that enhance the validity and applicability of its findings. First, the study employed a comprehensive domain-specific assessment approach, examining emotional sensitivity, maturity, and competency as distinct constructs rather than utilizing a unidimensional emotional intelligence measurement. This nuanced framework enabled identification of domain-specific variations that would have remained obscured in aggregate analyses. Second, the utilization of a validated assessment instrument (Emotional Quotient Test by Singh D and Chadha NK)

specifically standardized for Indian populations enhanced the cultural and contextual relevance of measurements, reducing potential validity threats associated with cross-cultural assessment adaptation. Third, the stratified analysis incorporating both age and gender variables provided multidimensional insights into potential developmental trajectories and demographic influences on emotional intelligence domains.

The study achieved a response rate exceeding the calculated minimum sample size requirements, enhancing statistical power and reducing non-response bias risks. Furthermore, the inclusion of medical professionals across diverse practice settings within Perambalur district increased ecological validity and generalizability within similar regional contexts. The identification of statistically significant associations, particularly between age and emotional competency, demonstrates adequate statistical power despite the relatively modest sample size. The integration of quantitative statistical analyses with contextualized interpretation within the broader emotional intelligence literature provides a balanced analytical approach that acknowledges both empirical findings and theoretical frameworks.

7. LIMITATIONS

Several limitations warrant consideration when interpreting this study's findings. First, the cross-sectional design precludes establishment of causal relationships or definitive developmental trajectories, allowing only associational inferences regarding age-related emotional intelligence patterns. Longitudinal research would be necessary to conclusively establish developmental progressions in these domains. Second, the convenience sampling methodology introduces potential selection bias, potentially overrepresenting medical professionals with greater interest in emotional intelligence or specific personality characteristics that might correlate with response likelihood. This sampling approach limits generalizability beyond the specific study context.

The relatively small sample size, particularly in older age cohorts (n=8 in the 36-40 year group), introduces potential statistical power limitations for subgroup analyses. This constrains confidence in conclusions regarding age-associated patterns, particularly in the oldest participants. The potential for social desirability bias inherent in self-reported emotional intelligence assessment represents another limitation, as medical professionals may consciously or unconsciously regulate responses to align with perceived professional expectations. The absence of objective behavioral or performance metrics to validate self-reported emotional intelligence represents a methodological constraint common to this research domain.

The single region focus in Perambalur district limits geographic generalizability to other regions with potentially different cultural, educational, or healthcare system characteristics. The static temporal assessment provides only a snapshot of emotional intelligence profiles without capturing potential seasonal, contextual, or career-stage fluctuations that might influence these domains. Finally, the absence of specialty-specific or practice-setting analyses precludes identification of potential practice-associated variations in emotional intelligence profiles that might inform targeted interventional approaches.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on this study's findings, several evidence-based recommendations emerge for research, education, and clinical practice. Future research should incorporate longitudinal designs tracking emotional intelligence domain development throughout medical career progression to establish definitive developmental trajectories and identify critical intervention windows. Multi-center studies with larger samples representing diverse geographical and cultural contexts would enhance generalizability and identify potential regional variations in emotional intelligence patterns among medical professionals. The integration of objective behavioral assessments alongside self-reported measures would strengthen validity and provide multidimensional emotional intelligence evaluation.

For medical education, we recommend implementation of formalized emotional intelligence assessment during medical training with particular emphasis on emotional maturity evaluation, given the concerning deficits identified in this domain. Development of targeted educational interventions specifically addressing emotional regulation and modulation skills represents a high-priority initiative based on the observed maturity deficits. For clinical practice, we recommend establishment of structured mentorship programs pairing early-career physicians with emotionally intelligent senior colleagues to potentially accelerate competency development through guided experiential learning. Implementation of organizational-level initiatives promoting emotional intelligence development through reflective practice, psychological safety, and collaborative learning environments could address the identified deficits while enhancing professional wellbeing and clinical effectiveness.

8. CONCLUSION

This cross-sectional study revealed significant domain-specific variations in emotional intelligence profiles among medical professionals, with pronounced strengths in emotional competency contrasted by concerning deficits in emotional maturity. The significant association between age and emotional competency suggests experiential enhancement of this domain through clinical practice, while the absence of significant gender differences indicates that emotional intelligence development may be more strongly influenced by professional training and experience than gender-associated factors. The

concerning emotional maturity deficits observed across all demographic strata highlight an urgent need for targeted interventions addressing emotional regulation skills throughout medical education and professional development continuum. These findings contribute to the evolving understanding of emotional intelligence in healthcare contexts and provide an empirical foundation for educational initiatives aimed at enhancing these critical professional competencies among medical practitioners. Future longitudinal research examining developmental trajectories across emotional intelligence domains would further illuminate optimal intervention approaches for medical education and professional development programs.

REFERENCES

[1] Alabbasi AMA, Alabbasi FA, AlSaleh A, Alansari AM, Sequeira RP. Emotional intelligence weakly predicts academic success in medical programs: a multilevel meta-analysis and systematic review. BMC Med Educ. 2023 Jun 8;23(1):425.

[2] Azpiazu L, Antonio-Agirre I, Fernández-Zabala A, Escalante N. How Does Social Support and Emotional Intelligence Enhance Life Satisfaction Among Adolescents? A Mediational Analysis Study. Psychol Res Behav Manag. 2023 Jun;16:2341–51.

[3] Begum A. Effect of emotional intelligence and academic streams on self- esteem of college students. Int J Indian Psychol. 2020;8(3):1356–62.

[4] Casino-García AM, Llopis-Bueno MJ, Llinares-Insa LI. Emotional Intelligence Profiles and Self-Esteem/Self-Concept: An Analysis of Relationships in Gifted Students. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021 Jan 23;18(3):1006.

[5] Dolev N, Goldental N, Reuven-Lelong A, Tadmor T. The Evaluation of Emotional Intelligence among Medical Students and Its Links with Non-cognitive Acceptance Measures to Medical School. Rambam Maimonides Med J. 2019 Apr 18;10(2):e0010.

[6] Du Plessis M. Trait emotional intelligence and flourishing: The mediating role of positive coping behaviour. SA J Ind Psychol. 2023 Mar 3;49(0):a2063.

[7] . Fayaz I. Emotional Intelligence, Hope and Gratitude among Adolescents of Kashmir Valley: A Comparative Study. Int J Mod Soc Sci. 2020;9(1):1–19.

[8] Faye A, Kalra G, Swamy R, Shukla A, Subramanyam A, Kamath R. Study of emotional intelligence and empathy in medical postgraduates. Indian J Psychiatry. 2011;53(2):140.

[9] Gómez-Baya D, Mendoza R. Trait Emotional Intelligence as a Predictor of Adaptive Responses to Positive and Negative Affect During Adolescence. Front Psychol. 2018 Dec 11;9:2525.

[10] Fernandes HM, Costa H, Esteves P, Machado-Rodrigues AM, Fonseca T. Direct and Indirect Effects of Youth Sports Participation on Emotional Intelligence, Self-Esteem, and Life Satisfaction. Sports. 2024 Jun 3;12(6):155.

[11] Ravikumar R, Rajoura OP, Sharma R, Bhatia MS. A Study of Emotional Intelligence Among Postgraduate Medical Students in Delhi. Cureus. 2017 Jan 22;9(1):e989.

[12] Joseph N, Joseph N, Panicker V, Nelliyanil M, Jindal A, Viveki R. Assessment and determinants of emotional intelligence and perceived stress among students of a medical college in south India. Indian J Public Health. 2015;59(4):310.

[13] Sa B, Baboolal N, Williams S, Ramsewak S. Exploring Emotional Intelligence in a Caribbean Medical School. West Indian Med J. 2014 May 16;63(2):159.

[14] Wijekoon CN, Amaratunge H, De Silva Y, Senanayake S, Jayawardane P, Senarath U. Emotional intelligence and academic performance of medical undergraduates: a cross-sectional study in a selected university in Sri Lanka. BMC Med Educ. 2017 Dec;17(1):176.

[15] Singh D. Emotional Intelligence at Work: A Professional Guide. Second edition. New Delhi ; Thousand Oaks: SAGE Publications Pvt. Ltd; 2003. 288 p.

[16] Malinauskas R, Malinauskiene V. The Relationship between Emotional Intelligence and Psychological Well-Being among Male University Students: The Mediating Role of Perceived Social Support and Perceived Stress. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020 Mar 2;17(5):1605.

[17] Rey L, Extremera N, Pena M. Perceived Emotional Intelligence, Self-Esteem and Life Satisfaction in Adolescents. Psychosoc Interv. 2011 Aug;20(2):227–34.

[18] Ruvalcaba-Romero NA, Fernández-Berrocal P, Salazar-Estrada JG, Gallegos-Guajardo J. Positive emotions, self-esteem, interpersonal relationships and social support as mediators between emotional intelligence and life satisfaction. J Behav Health Soc Issues. 2017 Jul 12;9(1):1–6.

[19] Wood TJ, Humphrey-Murto S, Moineau G, Forgie M, Puddester D, Leddy JJ. Does Emotional Intelligence at medical school admission predict future licensing examination performance? Can Med Educ J. 2020 Feb 27;11(1):e35–45.

[20] Hall J, Jones G, Beattie C, Sands J. Did Emotional Intelligence Traits Mitigate COVID-19 Uncertainty Effects on Financial Institutions' Board Decision-Making Process? J Risk Financ Manag. 2024 Mar 6;17(3):106.

[21] Landa JMA, López-Zafra E, de Antoñana RM, Pulido M. Perceived emotional intelligence and life satisfaction among university teachers. Psicothema. 2006;18:152–7.

[22] Zhao Y, Sang B, Ding C. How Emotional Intelligence Influences Students' Life Satisfaction During University Lockdown: The Chain Mediating Effect of Interpersonal Competence and Anxiety. Behav Sci. 2024 Nov 7;14(11):1059.

[23] . Yang S, Jing L, He Q, Wang H. Fostering emotional well-being in adolescents: the role of physical activity, emotional intelligence, and interpersonal forgiveness. Front Psychol. 2024 May 22;15:1408022.

[24] Kim Y, Dhammasaccakarn W, Tongsamsi I. The Roles of Self-esteem in the Relationship between Emotional Intelligence (EI) and Adjustment among International Students in Southern Thailand. Makara Hum Behav Stud Asia. 2021 Jul 31;25(1):96–107.