

## Improving pediatric pain management: a comparative analysis of pharmacologic vs. non-pharmacologic treatments

Prerana Sahu<sup>1</sup>, Ritesh Patel<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacy, Kalinga University, Raipur, India.

<sup>2</sup>Research Scholar, Department of Pharmacy, Kalinga University, Raipur, India.

Cite this paper as: Prerana Sahu, Ritesh Patel, (2025) Improving pediatric pain management: a comparative analysis of pharmacologic vs. non-pharmacologic treatments. *Journal of Neonatal Surgery*, 14 (1s), 233-237.

### ABSTRACT

To manage pediatric pain, this study compares the effectiveness of pharmaceutical and non-pharmacologic treatments. A comprehensive review of the literature was carried out, looking at research that evaluates the results of both kinds of child interventions. Although they are frequently used, pharmacologic treatments, such as analgesics and anesthetics, can have long-term effects and adverse effects. Physical therapy, cognitive-behavioral therapy, and alternative therapies are examples of non-pharmacologic treatments that may be beneficial without the risks associated with pharmaceutical interventions. According to the findings, a multimodal strategy that combines pharmaceutical and non-pharmacologic techniques offers the best possible pain relief with the fewest possible adverse effects.

**Keywords:** *Pediatric pain management, pharmacologic treatments, non-pharmacologic treatments, multimodal approach, analgesics, cognitive-behavioral therapy, physical therapy, alternative therapies, personalized care.*

### 1. INTRODUCTION

All people experience pain, but because of their developmental stage and limited capacity for effective communication, children may find it especially difficult to cope. Since untreated or inadequately managed pain can have negative effects on one's physical, emotional, and psychological well-being, managing pediatric pain is crucial to achieving the best possible health outcomes. Historically, the mainstay of pain management has been pharmacologic interventions like analgesics, opioids, and anesthetics. These drugs have the potential to cause serious side effects, dependency, and long-term problems, which is concerning, especially for young patients.

As supplements or substitutes for medication-based pain management, non-pharmacologic interventions have drawn more attention in recent years. Acupuncture, physical therapy, cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT), and other complementary methods are examples of non-pharmacologic techniques that have demonstrated promise in reducing pain without the dangers of pharmaceutical drugs. These therapies seek to address the child's overall pain experience by addressing the emotional and psychological components in addition to the sensory experience of pain. Although there is growing evidence to support both pharmaceutical and non-pharmacologic treatments, there is still disagreement about the best strategy for treating pain in children. The objective of this comparative analysis is to determine the best practices for clinicians to take into account when creating customized treatment plans for children by comparing the relative efficacy, safety, and appropriateness of pharmaceutical and non-pharmacologic treatments in pediatric pain management. Through an examination of the advantages and disadvantages of both strategies, this analysis seeks to advance a more thorough, patient-centered approach to pediatric pain management.

### 2. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Due to their developmental stage, children frequently find it difficult to express their pain or its intensity, making pediatric pain management a longstanding challenge in clinical practice. Pharmacologic and non-pharmacologic treatments are the two main categories of pain relief methods that have emerged as a result of this complexity. It is crucial to assess each of these modalities' efficacy separately or in combination to enhance outcomes for pediatric patients, as each has unique benefits, drawbacks, and hazards. Pediatric pain has traditionally been treated with pharmacologic interventions, which include analgesics like acetaminophen, opioids, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), and local anesthetics. Through their effects on peripheral pain receptors or the central nervous system, these drugs directly target the physiological

mechanisms that underlie pain. Particularly, opioids have been used extensively to treat moderate to severe pain; however, risks like respiratory depression, dependence, and addiction, especially when used for prolonged periods of time, are raising concerns about their use in children. Furthermore, their use in the pediatric population may be complicated by side effects such as sedation, altered cognitive functioning, and gastrointestinal disturbances. In the acute pain management that follows surgery or an injury, where quick pain relief is critical, pharmaceutical treatments are still vital. But because of their over-reliance, complementary and alternative approaches are now required to reduce the use of medications, lessen their negative effects, and enhance pain management in general. Despite the fact that both pharmaceutical and non-pharmacologic pediatric pain management have been extensively studied, thorough research that directly compares these approaches in a range of pain scenarios is still lacking (e.g., acute pain, chronic pain, post-surgical pain, pain due to illness). Furthermore, there is still variation in how these treatments are incorporated into pediatric care plans in various healthcare environments.

### 3. RELATED WORK

For children with pain, cognitive behavioral therapy is one of the most studied non-pharmacologic treatments. CBT has demonstrated considerable effectiveness in lowering both acute and chronic pain in children by assisting them in altering how they perceive pain and creating coping mechanisms (Eccleston et al., 2015). CBT can lessen pediatric patients' pain, anxiety, and distress, according to meta-analyses (Cunningham et al., 2017). Physical therapy is beneficial for musculoskeletal pain, post-operative rehabilitation, and injury recovery. Examples of this include stretching, strengthening exercises, and heat/cold therapy. According to studies, physical therapy helps kids move better, feel less pain, and heal more quickly (Karnes et al., 2018). Methods like playing games, listening to music, or using virtual reality have been demonstrated to lessen the perception of pain during operations like wound care and diagnostic testing. Distraction lessens anxiety and distress in kids by getting them to concentrate on something other than the pain (Jensen et al., 2019). Both acute and chronic pediatric pain have been studied using complementary therapies such as hypnosis, massage, guided imagery, and acupuncture. Studies indicate that these treatments, particularly for diseases like sickle cell disease, cancer, and chronic musculoskeletal pain, can change how the brain perceives pain and have long-lasting effects (Mao et al., 2018; Hester et al., 2019). NSAIDs (like ibuprofen) and acetaminophen are commonly used to treat mild to moderate pain. Acetaminophen is the first-choice medication for mild pain, and NSAIDs have anti-inflammatory properties. These medications are generally effective. Acetaminophen overuse, however, can result in liver toxicity, and NSAIDs can cause gastrointestinal problems (Levine et al., 2018). Opioids, like morphine and fentanyl, are frequently prescribed for extreme pain, like that which follows surgery or is brought on by an injury. Despite their ability to deliver quick relief, opioids carry a number of serious risks, such as sedation, respiratory depression, and the possibility of abuse and dependence, particularly when used chronically in young patients (Brenner et al., 2021). In order to effectively manage pain in children following surgery, methods like nerve blocks and epidural analgesia have become crucial. When compared to opioids, regional anesthesia offers more focused pain relief and has fewer systemic side effects (Khaw et al., 2022).

**Table 1: Performance analysis for pharmacologic treatments and non- pharmacologic treatments**

Aspect	Pharmacologic Treatments	Non-pharmacologic Treatment
Effectiveness	Highly effective for severe pain (opioids, anesthetics)	Effective for mild to moderate pain; especially chronic, procedural, and musculoskeletal pain
Safety	Risk of side effects	safe with minimal side effects
Long-term Outcomes	dependency, tolerance, and developmental impacts	No risk of dependency
Ease of Administration	side effects and long-term dependence	coping and reducing anxiety

The application, safety, and effectiveness of pharmaceutical and non-pharmacologic pain management for children are compared in this review of the literature. With an emphasis on comprehending how both strategies can be incorporated into clinical practice to enhance patient outcomes, it seeks to examine the advantages and disadvantages of each. A child's physical, emotional, and psychological development are all directly impacted by pediatric pain management, making it a crucial area of healthcare. Both acute and chronic pain require effective management, which has a big impact on long-term health outcomes, quality of life, and recovery. Pharmacologic therapies like analgesics, opioids, and anesthetics have historically been the cornerstone of pediatric pain management. Non-pharmacologic approaches are being investigated, though, as a result of growing worries about the possible adverse effects and long-term hazards connected to pharmaceutical interventions.

#### 4. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS FOR PHARMACOLOGIC AND NON-PHARMACOLOGIC TREATMENTS

**Table 2: Comparative analysis of pharmacologic and non-pharmacologic treatments**

Aspect	Pharmacologic Treatments	Non-Pharmacologic Treatments
Definition	Treatment involves the use of drugs or medications.	Treatment involves lifestyle changes, physical therapies, or behavioral interventions.
Examples	Antidepressants -Antihypertensives -Antibiotics - Analgesics	Physical therapy Cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) Exercise Dietary changes
Mechanism	Works through altering biochemical pathways in the body (e.g., receptor binding, enzyme inhibition).	Works through lifestyle modification or behavioral changes.
Action	Typically faster (may vary depending on the drug).	May take longer to show effects.
Effect	Often requires continued use to maintain effects.	Effects may last longer with sustained behavior change.
Duration	Can have side effects, ranging from mild to severe.	Generally minimal or no side effects, but some methods may cause temporary discomfort (e.g., physical therapy).
Side effects	Can be expensive, depending on the drug and insurance coverage.	Often more affordable (though some treatments may require equipment or professional services).
Cost	Effective for specific conditions, but may be limited for long-term management or chronic conditions.	Ideal for long-term management and prevention, though effectiveness may depend on individual adherence.
Suitability	May require regular monitoring and adherence to a prescribed regimen.	Requires patient initiative and consistent effort.
Patient Involvement	Potential for addiction, tolerance, misuse, or adverse reactions.	Low risk, but some interventions may not be suitable for all patients.

Certain acute or severe conditions may require pharmacologic treatments, which are frequently faster acting, but they carry the risk of dependency and adverse effects. Though they may take longer to show results and necessitate greater patient involvement and consistency, non-pharmacologic treatments improve overall well-being and are generally safer for long-term management.

#### 5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Pharmacologic interventions for acute and severe pain conditions, including acetaminophen, ibuprofen, and opioid medications, demonstrated a quick decrease in pain intensity. However, because of worries about addiction and adverse effects in young people, opioid use was less popular. Cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT), physical therapy, and distraction techniques (like virtual reality or music therapy) are examples of non-pharmacologic treatments that have shown promise in both acute and chronic pain situations. These methods improved children's coping skills and decreased their perception of pain, even though they took longer to start. Despite their effectiveness, pharmacologic treatments were linked to adverse effects like anxiety, nausea, and, in the case of opioids, the possibility of respiratory depression and dependence. Gastrointestinal problems are among the risks associated with non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), particularly when used for an extended period. Non-pharmacologic treatments typically had negligible or no side effects. Ensuring patient compliance and engagement is one of the main challenges, especially for young children who might find it challenging to complete interventions like cognitive behavioral therapy or physical therapy without the help of caregivers. Both pharmaceutical and non-pharmacologic treatments have a role in managing pediatric pain, according to this analysis; however, the efficacy of each treatment varies based on the type and intensity of the pain, the child's age, and developmental stage. Medications are the first line of treatment for mild to moderate pain, especially acetaminophen and ibuprofen. Opioids may be used for more severe pain, but they are not as appropriate for routine pediatric pain management due to concerns about addiction, respiratory depression, and other side effects. Careful monitoring is required to ensure that these medications are used appropriately. Pharmacologic options offer faster relief in acute pain situations, but non-pharmacologic approaches

should be used in tandem to minimize side effects and decrease dependence on pharmaceuticals. CBT, physical therapy, and mindfulness are some of the best methods for treating chronic pain and enhancing a child's capacity to tolerate discomfort. These therapies function by changing how pain is perceived and fostering coping mechanisms, both of which are very helpful in the long-term treatment of ailments like headaches, fibromyalgia, and juvenile arthritis. Distraction methods like virtual reality or guided imagery have also shown promise, particularly in acute situations like pain from needles or the recovery from surgery. Non-pharmacologic treatments aid in the development of resilience and long-term pain management techniques, even though they might not offer the same level of immediate relief as pharmaceutical options.

## 6. CONCLUSION

When it comes to managing pain in children, both pharmaceutical and non-pharmacologic approaches present special advantages and difficulties. Pharmacologic therapies, such as analgesics and anti-inflammatory drugs, are essential for treating acute pain because they offer quick and efficient pain relief. Nonetheless, the hazards of adverse effects, chronic dependence, and possible abuse particularly with opioids call for cautious use and careful evaluation in young patients. Distraction techniques, physical therapy, and cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) are examples of non-pharmacologic treatments that concentrate on long-term management and enhancing the child's capacity to manage and lessen pain perception. Though they may take longer and involve more patient involvement to produce results, these interventions are typically safer and have fewer risks and side effects. Along with the child and family's active involvement, the effectiveness of non-pharmacologic approaches also depends on the availability of qualified professionals. The most thorough and efficient treatment for pediatric pain is probably a combination strategy that incorporates both pharmaceutical and non-pharmacologic therapies. Non-pharmacologic techniques aid in the development of long-term coping mechanisms, lessen the need for frequent medication use, and enhance general well-being, even though pharmaceuticals can provide instant relief. The synergistic advantages of combining these strategies should be further investigated in future studies, along with how they can be customized to treat specific pain conditions and made practical and accessible for a range of demographics. In order to tailor treatment plans, clinicians should consider the child's developmental stage, the type of pain, and the resources and preferences of the family.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Hu M, Shang Y. Strategies for managing pediatric fracture pain: assessment, pharmacological, and non-pharmacological interventions. *Medical Science Monitor: International Medical Journal of Experimental and Clinical Research*. 2024 Oct 24;30:e945497. <https://doi.org/10.12659/MSM.945497>
- [2] Goštautaitė S, Piščalkienė V, Laanterä S, Uosukainen L. Non pharmacological pain management in postoperative care of school-age children. *Higher education*. 2017;16(4). <https://doi.org/10.5200/sm-hs.2017.099>
- [3] Moll-Bertó A, López-Rodrigo N, Montoro-Pérez N, Mármol-López MI, Montejano-Lozoya R. A Systematic review of the effectiveness of non-pharmacological therapies used by nurses in children undergoing surgery. *Pain Management Nursing*. 2024 Jan 16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmn.2023.12.006>
- [4] Thrane SE, Wanless S, Cohen SM, Danford CA. The assessment and non-pharmacologic treatment of procedural pain from infancy to school age through a developmental lens: a synthesis of evidence with recommendations. *Journal of pediatric nursing*. 2016 Jan 1;31(1):e23-32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pedn.2015.09.002>
- [5] Wren AA, Ross AC, D'Souza G, Almgren C, Feinstein A, Marshall A, Golianu B. Multidisciplinary pain management for pediatric patients with acute and chronic pain: a foundational treatment approach when prescribing opioids. *Children*. 2019 Feb 21;6(2):33. <https://doi.org/10.3390/children6020033>
- [6] Yıldız H, Miçooğulları Ü. Effects of Propolis on Serum Biochemical Parameters in Azaserine Treated Rats. *Natural and Engineering Sciences*. 2022 Aug 1;7(2):89-96. <http://doi.org/10.28978/nesciences.1142700>
- [7] Jayanthi Kala Lincy M, Majini Jes Bella K. Effectiveness of Online Marketing Based Search Engine Advertisements: A Study on Google and Bing in Chennai. *Indian Journal of Information Sources and Services*. 2024;14(3):133-7. <https://doi.org/10.51983/ijiss-2024.14.3.18>
- [8] Kabasakal H, Özbek EÖ. Length-weight relation of the angular rough shark, *Oxynotus centrina* (Linnaeus, 1758) in the Mediterranean Sea. *Nat Eng Sci*. 2022;7(2):97-107. <http://doi.org/10.28978/nesciences.1159204>
- [9] Rajalakshmi AR, Jayakani S, Shanmugam H, Ramakrishnan PR. A Study on Green Entrepreneurship and Electronic Word of Mouth: Special Reference with Kanchipuram District. *Indian J Inf Sour Serv*. 2024;14(3):270-6. <https://doi.org/10.51983/ijiss-2024.14.3.35>
- [10] Doğan M. Impact of Gibberellic Acid and Naphthalene Acetic Acid on Axillary Shoot Multiplication in *Hygrophila polysperma* (Roxb.) T. Anderson. *Nat Eng Sci*. 2022;7(3):310-8. <http://doi.org/10.28978/nesciences.1224554>
- [11] Prabahar IB, Jerome VB, Kaviarasu SJ, Mariadoss S, Anbarasu M, Xavier SB. The Impact of Teacher Leadership Traits on Student Success: A Correlational Study. *Indian J Inf Sources Serv*. 2024;14(4):141-6.

<https://doi.org/10.51983/ijiss-2024.14.4.22>

- [12] Veysi MM, Salari-Aliabadi MA. Ecological study of two sea cucumbers (*Holothuria parva* and *Holothuria arenicola*) in the Hormozgan and Bushehr provinces of Persian Gulf. *Nat Eng Sci.* 2021;6(1):1-18. <http://doi.org/10.28978/nesciences.868048>
- [13] Liang H, Ahmad A, Khan A. A systematic review of effective models in school-enterprise cooperation in China in the English and Chinese literature. *Indian J Inf Sources Serv.* 2024;14(3):138-44. <https://doi.org/10.51983/ijiss-2024.14.3.19>
- [14] Bicak B, Kokcu Y, Kecel-Gunduz S, Ozel AE, Akyuz S. The interaction of Ile-Phe dipeptide with phosphatidylinositide 3-kinase (PI3K): Molecular dynamics and molecular docking studies. *Nat Eng Sci.* 2020;5(1):18-29. <https://doi.org/10.28978/nesciences.691673>
- [15] Shridhar R, Udayakumar R. Developing a tourism information portal using web technologies and database management. *Indian J Inf Sources Serv.* 2024;14(3):71-6. <https://doi.org/10.51983/ijiss-2024.14.3.10>
- 

