Journal of Neonatal Surgery
ISSN(Online): 2226-0439
Vol.15 , Issue 1s (2026)
https://www.jneonatalsurg.com

OPEN ACCESS

Reviewing Evidence-Based Advancements for Critical Surgical Procedures in Neonatal Medicine

Sheryene Tejeda[1]*, Sese-Owei Ekaye[2], Jean M. Taylor[3]

[1] Research and Development Department, Medicinal Technologies; Member, National Medical Association, Association for Women in Science, Biomedical Engineering Society, American Medical Association, and American Society of Pharmacognosy.

[2] Research and Development Department, Medicinal Technologies.

[3] Research and Development Department, Medicinal Technologies. ORCID ID: 0000-0001-7213-6052.

Corresponding Author:

Sheryene Tejeda

Cite this paper as: Sheryene Tejeda, Sese-Owei Ekaye, Jean M. Taylor (2026) Reviewing Evidence-Based Advancements for Critical Surgical Procedures in Neonatal Medicine. Journal of Neonatal Surgery, 15, (1s) 181-188

ABSTRACT

Neonatal surgery stands among the most technically demanding disciplines in all of medicine, performed on patients whose physiological reserves are extraordinarily limited. This article examines the underlying pathophysiology, operative strategies, perioperative considerations, and long-term outcomes associated with the most critical surgical conditions encountered during the neonatal period. Conditions reviewed include congenital diaphragmatic hernia, esophageal atresia with tracheoesophageal fistula, abdominal wall defects, necrotizing enterocolitis, Hirschsprung disease, intestinal atresia's, and anorectal malformations. The physiological vulnerabilities unique to neonates — among them transitional cardiovascular circulation, thermoregulatory instability, immature coagulation, and distinct pharmacokinetic profiles — are examined in the context of both anesthetic and surgical decision-making. Ethical dimensions, including fetal intervention and end-of-life care, are addressed throughout.

Keywords: neonatal surgery, congenital diaphragmatic hernia, esophageal atresia, necrotizing enterocolitis, Hirschsprung disease, neonatal anesthesia

INTRODUCTION

Neonatal surgery occupies a singular space in medicine — one where technical mastery, interdisciplinary collaboration, and human compassion converge under the most demanding of circumstances. Surgeons in this specialty routinely operate on newborns who may weigh less than a kilogram, whose organ systems are still maturing, and whose tolerance for physiological disruption is profoundly narrow. Every intervention demands not only surgical precision but also a thorough grasp of neonatal biology, a coordinated team approach, and an understanding of how the transition from intrauterine to extrauterine life shapes the risks involved.

The first weeks of life represent a period of remarkable biological flux. Neonates are actively adapting to breathing air, establishing independent circulation, regulating their own body temperature, and initiating enteral nutrition — all simultaneously. When congenital anomalies or acute pathological processes interrupt these transitions, surgical correction often becomes a matter of survival. The clinical stakes could not be higher, and the margin for error is correspondingly thin.

Progress in this field over the past several decades has been transformative. Advances in neonatal intensive care, anesthetic techniques, surgical instrumentation, imaging, and nutritional support have collectively shifted the outlook for many previously devastating conditions. Congenital diaphragmatic hernia, esophageal atresia, gastroschisis, and other conditions once regarded as near-uniformly fatal now carry survival rates exceeding 90% in high-volume referral centers. Yet survival alone does not capture the full picture; long-term morbidity, quality of life, neurodevelopmental outcomes, and the burden on families remain central concerns

This article offers a comprehensive, evidence-grounded examination of the major surgical conditions encountered in the neonatal period. For each condition, we explore the underlying pathophysiology, diagnostic approach, operative strategy, and postoperative considerations, with attention to both current best practices and emerging innovations.

Physiological Considerations in the Neonate

Understanding the distinct physiology of the newborn is foundational to safe neonatal surgical care. The neonate is not merely a small child — the biology governing cardiovascular function, respiratory mechanics, thermal regulation, fluid balance, and coagulation differs in meaningful ways from that of older pediatric and adult patients, and these differences have direct implications for surgical risk and management.

Cardiovascular Transition and Pulmonary Circulation

At birth, the cardiovascular system undergoes one of the most rapid and consequential transitions in human biology. Fetal circulatory pathways — including the ductus arteriosus and foramen ovale — that previously shunted blood away from the pulmonary circulation must close, redirecting flow through the newly expanded lungs. This transition is ordinarily complete within the first hours to days of life, but it remains vulnerable to disruption. Surgical stress, hypoxia, hypothermia, acidosis, and hypercapnia can all precipitate pulmonary vasospasm and reverse these transitional shunts, resulting in persistent pulmonary hypertension — a condition that substantially elevates perioperative risk. Anesthesia and surgical teams must anticipate this vulnerability and respond promptly when signs of hemodynamic compromise emerge.

RESPIRATORY MECHANICS

The neonatal airway presents unique anatomical and physiological challenges. The larynx sits higher and more anterior than in older patients, the tongue is proportionally large relative to the oropharynx, and the cricoid cartilage — rather than the vocal cords — represents the narrowest point of the airway. Neonates are obligate nasal breathers, making even mild nasal obstruction clinically significant. The chest wall is highly compliant, and the diaphragm functions as the principal respiratory muscle; when respiratory effort increases in response to distress, paradoxical chest wall movement can compromise tidal volume. Functional residual capacity is low, meaning that even brief periods of apnea can lead to rapid oxygen desaturation.

Thermoregulation

Maintaining normothermia in the perioperative period is a persistent challenge in neonatal surgery. The neonate's large body surface area relative to mass, combined with minimal subcutaneous adipose tissue, results in rapid and substantial heat loss through radiation, conduction, convection, and evaporation. Hypothermia carries a range of serious consequences: impaired myocardial function, prolonged drug metabolism, coagulopathy, increased oxygen consumption, and heightened susceptibility to infection. Standard precautions include warming the operating room environment, using warm intravenous fluids and irrigation, employing heated mattresses and radiant warmers, and covering exposed viscera with warm, moist dressings.

Fluid and Electrolyte Management

Total body water constitutes a higher proportion of body weight in neonates than in any other age group, and a disproportionate share of this water resides in the extracellular compartment. This distribution, combined with high insensible water losses (particularly in preterm infants and those with exposed abdominal contents), creates a tendency toward rapid fluid and electrolyte imbalance. Hyponatremia, hypernatremia, hypoglycemia, and hypocalcemia all occur with greater frequency in the perioperative neonatal period and require vigilant monitoring and correction. Maintenance fluids and replacement strategies must account for these unique physiological parameters rather than scaling adult or pediatric formulas.

Coagulation

The neonatal coagulation system is physiologically immature. Levels of vitamin K-dependent clotting factors are reduced at birth, and platelet function—while numerically adequate—may be qualitatively impaired, particularly in preterm infants. Routine administration of vitamin K at delivery addresses the most clinically significant deficiency, but the coagulation status of any neonate undergoing surgery warrants careful preoperative assessment. Even small volumes of blood loss, tolerated without consequence by older patients, can destabilize a neonate's hemodynamic status.

Surgical Repair of Congenital Diaphragmatic Hernia (CDH)

Congenital diaphragmatic hernia arises when a structural defect in the diaphragm permits abdominal viscera—most commonly the intestines, stomach, spleen, and, in some cases, the liver—to migrate into the thoracic cavity during fetal development. The condition affects approximately one in every 3,000 live births, with left-sided defects of the Bochdalek type accounting for roughly 85% of cases [1]. The pathological consequences extend well beyond mechanical displacement; the presence of abdominal organs within the developing chest disrupts pulmonary growth, producing hypoplasia of both lungs and disordered development of the pulmonary vasculature, ultimately manifesting as pulmonary hypertension at birth [2].

The clinical severity of CDH is shaped by two principal variables: the timing of herniation during fetal lung development and the extent to which thoracic content is occupied by herniated viscera. Liver herniation carries a notably worse prognosis than herniation of the bowel alone. In experienced centers, survival rates range from approximately 70% to 90%, but

survivors face a meaningful burden of long-term complications, including chronic lung disease, gastroesophageal reflux, neurodevelopmental impairment, and musculoskeletal deformities [3].

The evolution of operative management reflects hard-won lessons from decades of clinical experience. Contemporary practice has moved decisively away from emergency repair at birth—an approach that was previously standard but has been shown to worsen outcomes by operating on physiologically unstable patients. Current guidelines favor delayed repair following adequate resuscitation and stabilization. Pulmonary hypertension must be addressed with supplemental oxygen, inhaled nitric oxide, and, when necessary, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) prior to any surgical intervention.

The diaphragmatic defect may be repaired through a subcostal incision or, in hemodynamically stable infants, through minimally invasive thoracoscopic or laparoscopic approaches [4]. The herniated viscera are carefully reduced from the chest, and the defect is closed primarily when sufficient diaphragmatic tissue exists. Larger defects — particularly those involving the absence of a significant portion of the hemidiaphragm — require the use of prosthetic patch materials, such as Gore-Tex or biologic patches, and carry a considerably higher risk of recurrence [5]. Following repair, the ipsilateral hypoplastic lung expands gradually over weeks to months as pulmonary vascular resistance declines.

Postoperative management centers on the continued treatment of pulmonary hypertension, careful ventilatory support to avoid barotrauma to the relatively normal contralateral lung, and progressive nutritional rehabilitation [6].

Esophageal Atresia and Tracheoesophageal Fistula (EA/TEF)

Esophageal atresia — a congenital interruption of esophageal continuity — occurs in approximately one in 3,500 live births [7]. In roughly 85% of affected infants, esophageal atresia is accompanied by a tracheoesophageal fistula; the most common anatomical configuration (Gross type C) features a blind-ending proximal esophageal pouch in conjunction with a fistulous connection between the distal esophagus and the trachea [8]. The clinical consequence is an infant incapable of swallowing, at high risk for aspiration pneumonia from pooled secretions, and subject to abdominal distension from air entering the gastrointestinal tract through the fistula.

EA/TEF rarely presents in isolation. It occurs within the constellation of anomalies captured by the VACTERL acronym — Vertebral, Anal, Cardiac, Tracheo-Esophageal, Renal, and Limb defects — with cardiac anomalies present in up to 35% of patients [9]. The nature and severity of associated anomalies significantly influence operative risk, and stratification using the Spitz or Waterston classification systems guides preoperative planning.

Operative Technique

Surgical correction is performed through a right extrapleural thoracotomy. The extrapleural approach is preferred because, in the event of an anastomotic leak, contamination remains contained outside the pleural space, reducing the risk of mediastinitis [10]. The azygous vein is divided to expose the posterior mediastinum. The fistula is identified, ligated, and divided at its tracheal origin. The gap between the esophageal ends is assessed, and in the majority of cases, a primary anastomosis can be completed under acceptable tension. Stay sutures are placed in both esophageal ends, the anastomosis is constructed in one or two layers, and a transanastomotic feeding tube is advanced to facilitate early enteral nutrition [8].

In long-gap esophageal atresia — defined by a gap exceeding three vertebral bodies — primary anastomosis is not immediately achievable. Management options include delayed primary repair using traction sutures to promote esophageal elongation, or esophageal replacement via gastric pull-up, colon interposition, or jejunal interposition. These cases represent some of the most technically and logistically demanding in all of pediatric surgery.

Anastomotic leak occurs in 10–15% of cases, and stricture formation affects up to 40% of patients, often requiring repeated esophageal dilations over an extended period [10]. Long-term complications include gastroesophageal reflux, esophageal dysmotility, tracheomalacia, and recurrent lower respiratory tract infections.

Abdominal Wall Defects: Gastroschisis and Omphalocele

Gastroschisis and omphalocele each represent a failure of normal abdominal wall formation, resulting in herniation of visceral contents beyond the body wall. Despite their superficial clinical similarity, the two conditions differ substantially in embryological origin, associated anomalies, and clinical management [11].

Gastroschisis is a full-thickness abdominal wall defect, characteristically located immediately to the right of an intact umbilical cord. The bowel herniates through this opening without any covering membrane and is exposed to amniotic fluid throughout the latter portion of gestation, producing a distinctive chemical serositis—the herniated bowel is thickened, matted, edematous, and foreshortened [12]. Unlike omphalocele, gastroschisis carries a very low rate of associated chromosomal anomalies, though intestinal atresia complicates approximately 15% of cases [13].

Omphalocele, by contrast, is a midline herniation of abdominal contents into the base of the umbilical cord, where they remain enclosed within a sac formed by amniotic membrane, Wharton's jelly, and peritoneum. The condition is associated with a substantially higher rate of co-occurring anomalies, including structural cardiac defects, chromosomal abnormalities (particularly trisomies 13 and 18), Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome, and pentalogy of Cantrell, all of which carry significant

prognostic weight [11,14].

Surgical Management

Immediate management of gastroschisis at birth focuses on minimizing fluid and heat loss from the exposed bowel through the application of warm, moist dressings and an impermeable sterile bowel bag. Definitive repair involves returning the herniated bowel to the abdominal cavity and closing the defect—either through primary fascial closure in the operating room when the abdominal domain is sufficient or through a staged approach using a spring-loaded silo with incremental reduction of bowel over several days [12]. Recovery of bowel motility following the serositis is typically slow, and enteral feeding may be delayed for several weeks postoperatively [15].

Omphalocele management depends critically on defect size and sac integrity. Small defects with an intact sac are amenable to primary repair. Giant omphaloceles—in which the liver frequently occupies the sac and forceful primary closure would generate hazardous intra-abdominal pressure—require a staged approach using prosthetic materials or, in selected cases, a conservative strategy involving the serial application of topical antimicrobials to allow progressive skin coverage over the sac, with definitive fascial repair deferred [11].

Necrotizing Enterocolitis (NEC)

Necrotizing enterocolitis remains the most common and feared gastrointestinal emergency in premature neonates, affecting approximately 7–10% of infants born before 32 weeks of gestation [16]. Its pathogenesis involves a complex and still incompletely understood interplay of intestinal immaturity, alterations in the gut microbiome, and a dysregulated inflammatory cascade that culminates in transmural intestinal necrosis, perforation, and systemic sepsis [17].

NEC typically manifests between two and six weeks of postnatal life in preterm infants, though a clinically distinct form also occurs in term neonates who have sustained intestinal ischemia or who have significant congenital heart disease [18]. Presenting features include abdominal distension, feeding intolerance, bloody stools, and systemic signs of infection. The radiographic finding of pneumatosis intestinalis — air within the bowel wall — is considered pathognomonic.

Indications for Surgery and Operative Approaches

Initial management is medical: bowel rest with cessation of enteral feeds, institution of broad-spectrum antibiotics, and provision of parenteral nutrition. Surgical intervention is warranted when intestinal perforation is confirmed by free air on abdominal radiograph or when the infant's clinical condition deteriorates despite maximal medical support [19].

Two operative strategies have been established. Primary peritoneal drainage (PPD) is a bedside procedure performed under local anesthesia, involving placement of a drain in the right lower quadrant to decompress the peritoneal cavity; it is reserved for infants who are too hemodynamically unstable to safely undergo general anesthesia [20]. Exploratory laparotomy allows direct visualization of the intestine, resection of all frankly necrotic bowel, establishment of ostomies, and preservation of as much viable intestinal length as possible [19].

Short bowel syndrome is the most significant long-term complication of extensive NEC resection. Infants left with fewer than 30–40 cm of functional small bowel may become dependent on long-term parenteral nutrition and, in refractory cases, may ultimately require intestinal transplantation [21].

Hirschsprung Disease

Hirschsprung disease arises from an arrest of the craniocaudal migration of neural crest-derived ganglion cell precursors during fetal gut development. The resulting aganglionic segment lacks the intrinsic neural circuitry required for coordinated peristalsis and remains in a state of tonic contraction, producing a functional intestinal obstruction. The condition occurs in approximately one in 5,000 live births and disproportionately affects males [22].

The extent of aganglionosis varies considerably across patients. In approximately 75% of cases, the aganglionic segment is confined to the rectosigmoid colon (short-segment disease); total colonic aganglionosis affects 10–15% of patients; and involvement of the small intestine is rare [22]. Down syndrome co-occurs in approximately 10% of patients with Hirschsprung disease, and mutations in the RET proto-oncogene represent the most frequently identified genetic basis.

The definitive treatment is a pull-through procedure, in which aganglionic bowel is resected and normally ganglionated proximal bowel is brought into continuity with the anus. Three established techniques — the Swenson, Duhamel, and Soave (endorectal pull-through) operations—each achieve comparable long-term functional results in experienced hands. Current practice generally favors single-stage transanal or laparoscopically assisted pull-through performed during the neonatal period, eliminating the need for a preliminary diverting colostomy [23]. Intraoperative frozen section analysis is essential to confirm the presence of adequate ganglion cells at the planned anastomotic level.

Long-term outcomes are satisfactory for the majority of patients, though a subset continues to experience obstructive symptoms, Hirschsprung-associated enterocolitis, soiling, or chronic constipation [24].

Intestinal Atresias

Intestinal atresias — congenital interruptions of bowel continuity — may occur at any level of the gastrointestinal tract, each with its own distinct etiology, clinical presentation, and operative considerations [25].

Duodenal atresia, occurring in approximately one in 5,000 to 10,000 births, results from incomplete recanalization of the duodenal lumen during the eighth to tenth week of gestation [26]. It is strongly associated with Down syndrome, present in approximately 30% of affected infants, and produces the classic "double bubble" sign on plain abdominal radiograph. Surgical repair via duodenoduodenostomy or duodenojejunostomy yields excellent outcomes [26].

Jejunoileal atresia, with an incidence of approximately one in 3,000 births, is attributed to an intrauterine vascular accident resulting in segmental intestinal ischemia, necrosis, and resorption [27]. Anatomical subtypes are classified by morphology (Types I through IV), with surgical management consisting of resection of the atretic segment followed by primary anastomosis [28]. In cases where extensive bowel loss has occurred, intestinal lengthening procedures may be warranted [29].

Anorectal Malformations

Anorectal malformations encompass a spectrum of anomalies characterized by abnormal communication between the rectum and the perineum. With an incidence of approximately one in 4,000 to 5,000 live births, these conditions are classified broadly into low lesions (involving a perineal fistula with a partially formed anal opening) and high lesions (in which no perineal opening is present, and a fistula connects the rectum to the urethra, vagina, or bladder) [30,31].

Low lesions are amenable to correction by posterior sagittal anoplasty during the neonatal period without the need for a preliminary colostomy. High lesions require a staged approach: initial neonatal diverting colostomy, followed by posterior sagittal anorectoplasty (PSARP) at one to three months of age, and subsequent colostomy closure [31]. Long-term functional outcomes — particularly with respect to fecal continence — are primarily determined by the level of the malformation, the integrity of the sacrum, and the adequacy of the associated sacral nerve supply [30].

Anesthetic Considerations in Neonatal Surgery

Neonatal anesthesia demands a level of specialized expertise that goes far beyond simple dose adjustment for body weight. The neonate's pharmacokinetics differ fundamentally from those of older patients: reduced circulating albumin and alpha-1 acid glycoprotein limit drug protein binding, immature hepatic enzymatic activity and reduced renal filtration capacity prolong drug clearance, and the relative immaturity of the blood-brain barrier alters central nervous system drug penetration [32]. Volatile anesthetic agents warrant particular caution given the heightened sensitivity of the neonatal myocardium [33].

The historical underestimation of neonatal pain capacity represents one of the most consequential errors in the field's past. For decades, clinical practice proceeded on the assumption that neonates either did not experience pain or were incapable of suffering its harmful effects. This position has been definitively refuted; neonates mount robust hormonal, hemodynamic, and behavioral responses to noxious stimuli, and the provision of adequate analgesia is both an ethical obligation and a physiological necessity to attenuate the stress response to surgery [34,35].

Regional anesthetic techniques — including caudal epidural blockade and local wound infiltration — are now incorporated into multimodal analgesic protocols with increasing frequency. These approaches reduce reliance on systemic opioids, facilitate earlier recovery of bowel function, and support earlier extubation [36].

Ethical Dimensions of Neonatal Surgery

No comprehensive examination of neonatal surgery is complete without confronting its profound ethical dimensions. When a newborn is found to have a life-threatening malformation, the clinical team, family, and broader support network are called upon to make decisions of extraordinary consequence — often under significant time pressure, with incomplete prognostic information, and within a context shaped by family values, cultural background, and the emotional weight of a newly arrived child [37].

The foundational principles of biomedical ethics — beneficence, non-maleficence, respect for autonomy, and justice — must all be balanced in these settings [38]. When anomalies carry a high likelihood of death or profound long-term disability, goals of care must be thoughtfully articulated and aligned with what families understand and value. Palliative care is not an admission of defeat in neonatal surgery; it is an essential component of comprehensive care, and the surgeons who practice in this field must be as capable of delivering compassionate, honest communication about prognosis as they are of performing the operations themselves [39].

Fetal surgical intervention adds further ethical complexity to the landscape. Procedures such as fetoscopic tracheal balloon occlusion for severe CDH and intrauterine repair of myelomeningocele offer the potential for improved outcomes, but they do so by subjecting the mother to procedural risks in service of a fetus who cannot provide consent for the intervention [40,41]. Careful, individualized counseling and shared decision-making are indispensable prerequisites for these procedures.

CONCLUSION

Neonatal surgery represents the convergence of technical excellence, physiological sophistication, and deeply human care. The conditions reviewed in this article — congenital diaphragmatic hernia, esophageal atresia with tracheoesophageal fistula, abdominal wall defects, necrotizing enterocolitis, Hirschsprung disease, intestinal atresias, and anorectal malformations — collectively illustrate the breadth and complexity of what surgical teams must master to serve this uniquely vulnerable population.

The transformation in outcomes witnessed over the past several decades is a testament to what coordinated, evidence-driven progress can achieve. Conditions once regarded as near-uniformly fatal are now routinely managed with survival rates that would have been inconceivable a generation ago. Nevertheless, the work is far from finished. Pulmonary morbidity, short bowel syndrome, neurodevelopmental sequelae, and the long-term burden of living with complex surgical histories continue to affect many survivors and their families.

Emerging innovations — including fetal intervention, minimally invasive surgical techniques, advances in neonatal intensive care, and a growing understanding of the developing gut microbiome — hold genuine promise for further improvements. Realizing that promise will require the same qualities that have always driven progress in neonatal surgery: rigorous inquiry, intellectual humility, technical dedication, and an unwavering commitment to the patients and families who place their trust in the hands of the surgical team.

Conflicts of interest:

The author declares no conflicts of interest.

Funding:

No external funding was received for this study.

Acknowledgments:

None.

REFERENCES

[1] Canadian Congenital Diaphragmatic Hernia Collaborative. (2018). Diagnosis and management of congenital diaphragmatic hernia: A clinical practice guideline. Canadian Medical Association Journal, 190(4), E103–E112. https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.170206

[2] Yang, M. J., Russell, K. W., Yoder, B. A., & Fenton, S. J. (2021). Congenital diaphragmatic hernia: A narrative review of controversies in neonatal management. Translational Pediatrics, 10(5), 1432–1447. https://doi.org/10.21037/tp-20-142

[3] Hollinger, L. E., Harting, M. T., & Lally, K. P. (2017). Long-term follow-up of congenital diaphragmatic hernia. Seminars in Pediatric Surgery, 26(3), 178–184. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.sempedsurg.2017.04.007

[4] Zhu, Y., Wu, Y., Pu, Q., Ma, L., Liao, H., & Liu, L. (2016). Minimally invasive surgery for congenital diaphragmatic hernia: A meta-analysis. Hernia, 20(2), 297–302. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10029-015-1423-0

[5] Gasior, A. C., & St Peter, S. D. (2012). A review of patch options in the repair of congenital diaphragm defects. Pediatric Surgery International, 28(4), 327–333. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00383-012-3059-9

[6] Snoek, K. G., Reiss, I. K. M., Greenough, A., Capolupo, I., Urlesberger, B., Wessel, L., Storme, L., Deprest, J., Schaible, T., van Heijst, A., Tibboel, D., & CDH EURO Consortium. (2016). Standardized postnatal management of infants with congenital diaphragmatic hernia in Europe: The CDH EURO Consortium consensus—2015 update. Neonatology, 110(1), 66–74. https://doi.org/10.1159/000444210

[7] O'Shea, D., Schmoke, N., Porigow, C., Murray, L. P., Chung, W. K., Kattan, M., Jang, M., Antosy, A., Middlesworth, W., & Khlevner, J. (2023). Recent advances in the genetic pathogenesis, diagnosis, and management of esophageal atresia and tracheoesophageal fistula: A review. Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition, 77(6), 703–712. https://doi.org/10.1097/MPG.0000000000003952

[8] Kempker, T., & Peuterbaugh, J. (2025). Esophageal atresia and tracheoesophageal fistula: Diagnosis, management, and outcomes. NeoReviews, 26(5), e307–e315. https://doi.org/10.1542/neo.26-5-012

[9] Solomon, B. D. (2011). VACTERL/VATER association. Orphanet Journal of Rare Diseases, 6, 56. https://doi.org/10.1186/1750-1172-6-56

[10] Dingemann C, Dietrich J, Zeidler J, et al. (2016). Early complications after esophageal atresia repair. Diseases of the Esophagus, 29(7), 780–786. https://doi.org/10.1111/dote.12369

[11] Bielicki, I. N., Somme, S., Frongia, G., Holland-Cunz, S. G., & Vuille-dit-Bille, R. N. (2021). Abdominal wall defects—current treatments. Children, 8(2), 170. https://doi.org/10.3390/children8020170

[12] Bhat, V., Moront, M., & Bhandari, V. (2020). Gastroschisis: A state-of-the-art review. Children, 7(12), 302. https://doi.org/10.3390/children7120302

[13] Rentea, R. M., & Gupta, V. (2023). Gastroschisis. In StatPearls. StatPearls Publishing.

[14] Zahouani, T., & Mendez, M. D. (2023). Omphalocele. In StatPearls. StatPearls Publishing.

[15] Dekonenko, C., & Fraser, J. D. (2020). Approaches for closing gastroschisis. Advances in Pediatrics, 67, 123–129. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yapd.2020.03.005

[16] Neu, J., & Walker, W. A. (2011). Necrotizing enterocolitis. New England Journal of Medicine, 364(3), 255–264. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra1005408

[17] Hackam, D. J., Sodhi, C. P., & Good, M. (2019). New insights into necrotizing enterocolitis: From laboratory observation to personalized prevention and treatment. Journal of Pediatric Surgery, 54(3), 398–404. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2018.06.012

[18] Christensen, R. D., Lambert, D. K., Baer, V. L., & Gordon, P. V. (2013). Necrotizing enterocolitis in term infants. Clinics in Perinatology, 40(1), 69–78. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clp.2012.12.007

[19] Downard, C. D., Renaud, E., St. Peter, S. D., Abdullah, F., Islam, S., Saito, J. M., Blakely, M. L., & APSA Outcomes and Clinical Trials Committee. (2012). Treatment of necrotizing enterocolitis: An American Pediatric Surgical Association Outcomes and Clinical Trials Committee systematic review. Journal of Pediatric Surgery, 47(11), 2111–2122. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2012.08.011

[20] Blakely, M. L., Tyson, J. E., Lally, K. P., McDonald, S. A., Stoll, B. J., Stevenson, D. K., Poindexter, B. B., Bell, E. F., Sánchez, P. J., Van Meurs, K. P., Ball, M. B., Das, A., & Higgins, R. D. (2021). Initial laparotomy versus peritoneal drainage in extremely low birthweight infants with surgical necrotizing enterocolitis or isolated intestinal perforation. Annals of Surgery, 274(4), e370–e380. https://doi.org/10.1097/SLA.0000000000005099

[21] Caporilli, C., Giannì, G., Grassi, F., & Esposito, S. (2023). An overview of short-bowel syndrome in pediatric patients: Focus on clinical management and prevention of complications. Nutrients, 15(10), 2341 . https://doi.org/10.3390/nu15102341

[22] Karim, A., Tang, C. S. M., & Tam, P. K. H. (2021). The emerging genetic landscape of Hirschsprung disease and its potential clinical applications. Frontiers in Pediatrics, 9, 638093. https://doi.org/10.3389/fped.2021.638093

[23] Etskovitz, H., Kim, R. S., Wang, S. Z., & Nandivada, P. (2024). Surgical management of short-segment Hirschsprung disease. World Journal of Pediatric Surgery, 7(4), e000916. https://doi.org/10.1136/wjps-2024-000916

[24] Pakarinen, M. P., & Mutanen, A. (2024). Long-term outcomes and quality of life in patients with Hirschsprung disease. World Journal of Pediatric Surgery, 7(3), e000859. https://doi.org/10.1136/wjps-2024-000859

[25] Rich, B. S., Bornstein, E., & Dolgin, S. E. (2022). Intestinal atresias. Pediatrics in Review, 43(5), 266–274. https://doi.org/10.1542/pir.2021-005177

[26] Sigmon, D. F., Eovaldi, B. J., & Cohen, H. L. (2023). Duodenal atresia and stenosis. In StatPearls. StatPearls Publishing.

[27] Millar, A. J. W., Numanoglu, A., & Cox, S. (2017). Jejunoileal atresia and stenosis. In P. Puri (Ed.), Pediatric Surgery. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-38482-0_66-1

[28] Adams, S. D., & Stanton, M. P. (2014). Malrotation and intestinal atresias. Early Human Development, 90(12), 921–925. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2014.09.017

[29] van Praagh, J. B., Hofker, H. S., & Haveman, J.-W. (2022). Comparing bowel lengthening procedures: Which, when, and why? Current Opinion in Organ Transplantation, 27(2), 112–118. https://doi.org/10.1097/MOT.0000000000000957

[30] de Blaauw, I., Stenström, P., Yamataka, A., Miyake, Y., Reutter, H., Midrio, P., Wood, R., Grano, C., & Pakarinen, M. (2024). Anorectal malformations. Nature Reviews Disease Primers, 10, 88. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41572-024-00574-2

[31] Smith, C. A., & Avansino, J. (2023). Anorectal malformations. In StatPearls. StatPearls Publishing.

[32] Martin, L. D., Jimenez, N., & Lynn, A. M. (2017). A review of perioperative anesthesia and analgesia for infants: Updates and trends to watch. F1000Research, 6, 120. https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.10272.1

[33] Boyer, T. J., & Kritzmire, S. M. (2023). Neonatal anesthesia. In StatPearls. StatPearls Publishing.

[34] Hall, R. W., & Anand, K. J. S. (2014). Pain management in newborns. Clinics in Perinatology, 41(4), 895–924. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clp.2014.08.010

[35] Campbell-Yeo, M., Eriksson, M., & Benoit, B. (2022). Assessment and management of pain in preterm infants: A practice update. Children, 9(2), 244. https://doi.org/10.3390/children9020244

[36] Walker, S. M. (2014). Neonatal pain. Paediatric Anaesthesia, 24(1), 39–48. https://doi.org/10.1111/pan.12293

[37] Morillo Palomo, A., Esquerda Aresté, M., Riverola de Veciana, A., & Cambra Lasaosa, F. J. (2024). End-of-life decision-making in the neonatal intensive care unit. Frontiers in Pediatrics, 11, 1352485. https://doi.org/10.3389/fped.2023.1352485

[38] Beauchamp, T. L., & Childress, J. F. (2019). Principles of biomedical ethics (8th ed.). Oxford University Press.

[39] Carter, B. S. (2018). Pediatric palliative care in infants and neonates. Children, 5(2), 21. https://doi.org/10.3390/children5020021

[40] Deprest, J. A., Nicolaides, K. H., Benachi, A., Gratacós, E., Ryan, G., Persico, N., Sago, H., Johnson, A., Wielgoś, M., Berg, C., Van Calster, B., Russo, F. M., & TOTAL Trial for Severe Hypoplasia Investigators. (2021). Randomized trial of fetal surgery for severe left diaphragmatic hernia. New England Journal of Medicine, 385(2), 107–118. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2027030

[41] Adzick, N. S., Thom, E. A., Spong, C. Y., Brock, J. W., Burrows, P. K., Johnson, M. P., . . . Farmer, D. L. (2011). A randomized trial of prenatal versus postnatal repair of myelomeningocele. New England Journal of Medicine, 364(11), 993–1004. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1014379.