Journal of Neonatal Surgery
ISSN(Online): 2226-0439
Vol.14 , Issue 8 (2025)
https://www.jneonatalsurg.com
OPEN ACCESS

Effectiveness of Structured Stretching and Strengthening Exercise Programme on upper postural change in Frequent Smartphone Users: A Pre-Post Experimental Study

P. Muthukrishnan*[1], Dr. Raja Durai[2],

[1]M.P.T (Orthopaedics), Research Scholar, Department of Physiotherapy, Meenakshi Academy of Higher Education and Research (MAHER), Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

[2]MS Ortho, Associate Professor, Department of Clinical Research, MAHER, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

Corresponding Author:

P. Muthukrishnan

Email:ID: krishphysio5335@gmail.com

Cite this paper as P. Muthukrishnan, Dr. Raja Durai (2025) Effectiveness of Structured Stretching and Strengthening Exercise Programme on upper postural change in Frequent Smartphone Users: A Pre-Post Experimental Study Journal of Neonatal Surgery, 14, (8) 1015-1023

ABSTRACT

The escalating prevalence of smartphone use among university students has precipitated documented postural alterations characterized by forward head posture, increased thoracic kyphosis, and scapular dyskinesis. This pre-post experimental study evaluated the effectiveness of an eight-week structured stretching and strengthening exercise intervention on cervicothoracic alignment, scapulothoracic kinematics, and smartphone-related postural deviations in frequent smartphone users. Sixty university students (aged 18–25 years; mean daily smartphone usage >6 hours) were enrolled in this experimental study. Participants underwent baseline measurements including three-dimensional scapulothoracic kinematic analysis, head-neck posture assessment via photogrammetry, cervical and thoracic range of motion measurement, smartphone addiction scale scoring, and ergonomic usage pattern evaluation. The intervention comprised supervised stretching exercises targeting pectoralis major and minor, upper trapezius, and sternocleidomastoid muscles, combined with strengthening protocols for deep cervical flexors, lower trapezius, serratus anterior, and rhomboid muscles, performed 5 days weekly. Outcome measurements were reassessed post-intervention. Significant improvements were observed in anterior head translation (mean reduction 12.3 mm; $p<0.001$), scapular dyskinesis indices ($p<0.001$), cervical extension range of motion (mean increase 11.5 degrees; $p<0.001$), and thoracic extension (mean increase 13.2 degrees; $p<0.001$). Smartphone Addiction Scale scores decreased by 28.4% ($p<0.001$), and ergonomic assessment scores improved significantly ($p<0.001$). These findings demonstrate that structured exercise intervention effectively ameliorates postural deviations induced by frequent smartphone use and reduces smartphone addiction behaviors in university students. The study supports integration of targeted exercise protocols in physiotherapy management strategies for smartphone-related postural disorders. Results align with evidence-based musculoskeletal rehabilitation principles and current clinical practice guidelines for postural correction interventions

Keywords: cervicothoracic alignment, scapulothoracic kinematics, smartphone posture, forward head posture, exercise intervention, university students

INTRODUCTION

Contemporary mobile technology has fundamentally altered patterns of physical activity and postural behavior in young adult populations globally. Epidemiological evidence demonstrates that university students engage in smartphone activities for an average of six to eight hours daily, with frequent checking intervals occurring every 12–15 minutes throughout daytime hours[1]. This unprecedented frequency of handheld digital device engagement has generated a distinctive postural phenotype characterized by sustained cervical flexion, scapular protraction, and increased thoracic kyphosis—colloquially termed "tech neck" or "text neck" in popular terminology[2].

Biomechanical research utilizing three-dimensional motion analysis systems has quantified the kinematic consequences of smartphone use with remarkable precision. During smartphone viewing, the cervical spine demonstrates mean flexion angles exceeding 45 degrees from neutral, resulting in anterior head translation ranging from 5 to 15 millimeters relative to the thoracic spine[3]. This postural deviation distributes abnormal loading patterns across the cervicothoracic spine, with compressive forces on intervertebral discs increasing from 25 kilograms at neutral alignment to approximately 60 kilograms in forward head posture positions[4]. Prolonged smartphone engagement generates sustained muscle activation patterns in the upper trapezius (189–295% above baseline) and erector spinae musculature, precipitating myofascial fatigue and eventually chronic musculoskeletal pain[5].

Scapulothoracic kinematics represent a critical but often overlooked component of smartphone-induced postural dysfunction. The scapula functions as a foundational articulation linking the upper limb kinetic chain to the thoracic spine and ribcage. Smartphone use-associated protraction of the scapula destabilizes this kinetic chain, reducing muscular force transmission efficiency and constraining glenohumeral range of motion[6]. Scapular dyskinesis patterns manifest as reduced upward rotation during arm elevation, lateral winging during posture maintenance, and abnormal anterior-posterior tilting. These kinematic disturbances correlate with subacromial impingement syndrome prevalence and accelerate degenerative cascade processes in the shoulder joint complex[7].

Despite accumulating evidence of smartphone-induced postural pathology, physiotherapy intervention research remains limited. Published literature predominantly comprises observational studies and cross-sectional investigations documenting postural deviations without intervention-based evidence. Systematic review evidence synthesizing musculoskeletal consequences of smartphone use identifies moderate-quality evidence for postural alterations but acknowledges substantial gaps in intervention efficacy research[8]. Current clinical practice relies upon extrapolated principles from ergonomic modification studies and cervical rehabilitation protocols, without smartphone-specific intervention validation.

Behavioral research has increasingly identified problematic smartphone usage patterns as dimensional constructs amenable to clinical intervention. The construct of smartphone addiction demonstrates neurobiological parallels with substance-related addictive disorders, activating reward circuitry in the nucleus accumbens and ventral tegmental area[9]. Frequent smartphone users exhibit conditioned response patterns triggering automatic device checking behaviors, which paradoxically intensify postural compensation mechanisms through sustained cervical flexion and scapular protraction. Concurrent intervention targeting both postural dysfunction and smartphone usage behaviors may generate enhanced clinical outcomes compared to isolated postural correction approaches.

This study was designed to address identified gaps in smartphone-related postural intervention research by evaluating a comprehensive eight-week exercise protocol targeting cervicothoracic alignment, scapulothoracic kinematics, cervical and thoracic spinal mobility, and smartphone addiction behaviors in university students. We hypothesized that structured stretching and strengthening exercise intervention would significantly improve cervicothoracic postural alignment, normalize scapulothoracic kinematic patterns, enhance cervical and thoracic spinal mobility, and reduce smartphone addiction severity while improving ergonomic awareness among frequent smartphone users.

2. METHODS

2.1 Study Design and Setting

This study employed a pre-post experimental design without a control group, conducted across eight consecutive weeks within the university campus physiotherapy clinic and exercise rehabilitation laboratory. The research facility provided controlled environmental conditions with standardized temperature (22–24°C), humidity (45–55%), and illumination (500–750 lux) to minimize confounding environmental variables affecting biomechanical measurements and participant compliance.

2.2 Participant Recruitment and Eligibility

Participants were recruited through convenience sampling from university student populations via digital announcement platforms, departmental email distributions, and physical advertisements displayed in student service centers. Inclusion criteria encompassed: (1) age 18–25 years; (2) documented daily smartphone usage exceeding six hours; (3) self-reported neck or shoulder discomfort associated with smartphone use (visual analog scale score >3/10); (4) sedentary lifestyle with <150 minutes structured physical activity weekly; (5) absence of prior professional neck or shoulder physiotherapy; and (6) willingness to commit to supervised intervention attendance.

Exclusion criteria incorporated: (1) current cervical or thoracic spinal pathology requiring medical intervention (disc herniation, spondylosis, myelopathy); (2) prior upper extremity surgery or trauma within twelve months preceding enrollment; (3) neurological disorders affecting motor control or proprioception; (4) rheumatological diseases; (5) chronic systemic conditions contraindicting exercise (uncontrolled hypertension, cardiac disease); (6) concurrent participation in structured exercise programmes; and (7) inability to attend scheduled intervention sessions (≥80% attendance requirement for retention).

Sixty eligible participants were enrolled and provided written informed consent. The sample comprised 35 female and 25 male students with mean age 21.4 years (SD 1.8). Mean daily smartphone usage documented 7.2 hours (SD 0.9), with primary activities including social media interaction (62%), academic work (28%), and entertainment streaming (10%). Baseline self-reported neck discomfort prevalence: 68% of participants; shoulder discomfort: 57%; combined cervicothoracic pain: 45%. Ethical approval was obtained from the institutional review board (approval #2025/IRB/PT/089).

2.3 Outcome Measures and Assessment Instruments

2.3.1 Scapulothoracic Kinematics

Three-dimensional scapular motion was quantified using an 8-camera motion capture system (VICON Nexus, 200 Hz sampling rate) with retroreflective markers placed according to the International Society of Biomechanics scapular marker set. Marker positions incorporated: acromioclavicular joint, inferior angle, and medial border of scapula; spinous process of seventh cervical vertebra; 5th, 8th, and 12th thoracic vertebra levels; and humeral condyles. Participants performed standardized reaching tasks in cardinal planes (sagittal, coronal, transverse) at controlled velocity (45 degrees per second). Outcome parameters included: upward rotation angle (degrees), anterior-posterior tilt (degrees), and internal-external rotation (degrees) at 30, 60, and 90 degrees shoulder abduction elevation. Scapular dyskinesis was quantified using the dyskinesis severity score (range 0–10, higher scores indicating greater dysfunction).

2.3.2 Head and Neck Posture Assessment

Cervicothoracic postural alignment was evaluated using stereophotogrammetry with reflective markers positioned at occipital protuberance, C7 spinous process, and T3 spinous process landmarks. Participants assumed neutral standing posture with feet hip-width apart, arms at sides, and gaze directed horizontally. Digital photography captured sagittal plane views using standardized camera positioning (1.5 meters distance, eye level with fourth cervical vertebra). Anterior head translation distance was calculated as horizontal displacement of occipital protuberance marker relative to C7 spinous process vertical reference line. Forward head posture angle was computed as cervical spine inclination from horizontal (degrees). Measurement reliability established: intra-class correlation (ICC) 0.94 (95% CI 0.88–0.97).

2.3.3 Cervical and Thoracic Range of Motion

Active range of motion was measured using a smartphone-based inclinometer application (Sensorize, iOS/Android, ±1 degree accuracy) with established validity evidence (ICC >0.85). Movements assessed comprised cervical flexion, extension, right and left lateral flexion, and right and left rotation. Thoracic spine extension was measured with the participant lying prone, hands behind head, using the inclinometer at T3 level. Three repetitions of each movement were performed, with values averaged for analysis.

2.3.4 Smartphone Addiction Scale

The Smartphone Addiction Scale (SAS), a validated 33-item instrument (Cronbach's α 0.919), assessed smartphone dependency severity[10]. Items utilize a 6-point Likert-type response scale (1=strongly disagree; 6=strongly agree), generating total scores ranging 33–198. Higher scores indicate greater smartphone addiction. The SAS demonstrates strong discriminative validity for detecting problematic smartphone usage patterns. The instrument was completed in supervised paper format with standardized administration procedures.

2.3.5 Mobile Phone Usage Ergonomic Assessment

The Mobile Phone Usage Ergonomic Assessment (MPUEA), a researcher-developed instrument incorporating principles from ergonomic analysis frameworks, evaluated smartphone use behaviors and environmental ergonomic factors. The MPUEA comprised 18 items assessing: device holding position variation, neck posture during use, duration of uninterrupted use, frequency of checking behaviors, smartphone height relative to eye level, seating posture, desk surface height, and frequency of postural alternation. Scoring ranged 0–90, with higher scores indicating improved ergonomic awareness and execution. Instrument development included expert content validation (n=8 physiotherapy ergonomics specialists) and pilot testing (n=25).

2.4 Exercise Intervention Protocol

The eight-week intervention comprised two distinct components: supervised exercise sessions (5 days weekly, 45 minutes duration) and home exercise programme (daily, 20 minutes). Supervised sessions incorporated standardized warm-up procedures (10 minutes low-intensity cardiovascular activity), structured exercise sequences, and cool-down phases with static stretching (5 minutes).

Stretching Component targeted muscles demonstrating adaptive shortening and overactivity in smartphone users. Pectoralis major and minor stretching was performed via doorway stretches, wall corner stretches, and cross-body horizontal abduction (4 sets × 30 seconds, 60-second recovery). Upper trapezius and sternocleidomastoid stretches utilized neck lateral flexion with contralateral arm overpressure (4 sets × 30 seconds). Suboccipital and cervical extensor stretching employed cervical flexion combined with rotational components (4 sets × 30 seconds). Progressive stretch intensity increased weekly according to participant tolerance.

Strengthening Component targeted deep cervical flexor muscles, lower trapezius, serratus anterior, and rhomboid musculature. Deep cervical flexor activation was facilitated via cranio-cervical flexion exercises (craniocervical flexion test position) with progressive intensity through verbal feedback and biofeedback modalities (4 sets × 10 repetitions, 60-second rest). Lower trapezius strengthening employed prone shoulder abduction and extension exercises progressing to resistance bands (3 sets × 12 repetitions). Serratus anterior activation was achieved via scapular protraction exercises from quadruped and supine positions, progressing to weight-bearing positions and resistance modification (3 sets × 12 repetitions). Rhomboid strengthening incorporated prone shoulder retraction exercises with progressive resistance (3 sets × 12 repetitions).

Progressive overload principles were systematically applied throughout the intervention period. Resistance progression incorporated resistance band categories (light, medium, heavy), reduction of external support, increased repetition volumes, and extended time-under-tension during isometric phases. Exercise difficulty progressed from supervised stability balls to unstable surfaces, and from supine/prone positions to seated and standing postures, thereby incorporating proprioceptive challenge and functional relevance.

2.5 Measurement Procedures

Baseline assessments were conducted during the week preceding intervention commencement. All participants were assessed within a consistent 2-hour timeframe (9:00 AM–11:00 AM) to minimize diurnal variations in spinal height and postural sway. Assessment sequence maintained consistent ordering: scapulothoracic kinematics, postural photography, range of motion assessment, questionnaire completion. Post-intervention measurements were completed during the week following the eighth intervention week, employing identical assessment protocols and consistent timing to facilitate pre-post comparison.

2.6 Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics (means, standard deviations, ranges) characterized baseline demographic and outcome variables. Paired t-tests evaluated within-group pre-post intervention changes for all outcome measures. The paired t-test was selected based on normality testing using Shapiro-Wilk tests and visual Q-Q plot inspection, demonstrating acceptable normality distributions ($p>0.05$) for all outcome variables. Effect sizes were calculated using Cohen's d coefficient, with interpretation: 0.2–0.49 (small effect), 0.50–0.79 (medium effect), ≥0.80 (large effect). Statistical significance was established a priori at $p<0.05$ (two-tailed). Missing data comprised <2% of measurements (n=1 participant withdrew for personal reasons post-week 3), addressed via intention-to-treat principles utilizing baseline observation carried forward imputation. All analyses were performed using SPSS version 27.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY).

3. RESULTS

3.1 Participant Characteristics and Retention

Fifty-nine of sixty enrolled participants completed the eight-week intervention (98.3% retention rate). One participant withdrew during week three due to family relocation, precluding measurement completion. Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics are presented in Table 1. Participant groups demonstrated homogeneity across measured demographic variables. Average supervised session attendance was 38.2 ± 1.4 sessions (95.5% of 40 scheduled sessions). Home exercise programme compliance, verified through weekly self-report logs and training log documentation, averaged 84.3 ± 8.7%.

Table 1: Baseline Demographic and Clinical Characteristics (n=59)

Variable	Mean ± SD / Frequency	Range
Age (years)	21.4 ± 1.8	18–25
Sex (Female/Male)	35F / 24M	—
Height (cm)	169.3 ± 8.6	155–188
Body Mass Index (kg/m²)	22.4 ± 2.9	18.5–29.3
Daily Smartphone Usage (hours)	7.2 ± 0.9	6.1–8.8
Duration of Intensive Use (years)	5.8 ± 1.6	2–8
Baseline Neck Discomfort (n, %)	40 (67.8%)	—
Baseline Shoulder Discomfort (n, %)	34 (57.6%)	—
Neck Pain Severity (VAS 0–10)	4.2 ± 2.1	0–8
Shoulder Pain Severity (VAS 0–10)	3.8 ± 2.3	0–8
Smartphone Addiction Scale (0–198)	98.3 ± 18.7	68–156

Ergonomic Assessment Score (0–90)	34.2 ± 8.9	18–52

Table 1: Baseline demographic, anthropometric, and clinical characteristics of study participants

3.2 Scapulothoracic Kinematics Outcomes

Significant improvements in scapular kinematics were observed post-intervention (Table 2). Scapular upward rotation angle at 90 degrees shoulder abduction increased from 48.3 ± 8.9 degrees to 61.7 ± 7.2 degrees (mean change +13.4 degrees; 95% CI 11.2–15.6; p<0.001; Cohen's d = 1.61, large effect). Anterior-posterior scapular tilt normalized post-intervention (baseline 18.2 ± 6.1 degrees; post-intervention 11.4 ± 5.3 degrees; mean change −6.8 degrees; 95% CI −8.3 to −5.3; p<0.001; Cohen's d = 1.18, large effect), indicating reduced scapular winging and improved scapulohumeral rhythm. Scapular dyskinesis severity scores decreased significantly (baseline 6.7 ± 2.1; post-intervention 2.3 ± 1.4; mean change −4.4; 95% CI −5.1 to −3.7; p<0.001; Cohen's d = 2.28, large effect).

Table 2: Pre-Post Intervention Outcome Measurements and Statistical Analysis

Outcome Measure	Baseline Mean ± SD	Post-Intervention Mean ± SD	Mean Difference (95% CI)	p-value	Cohen's d
SCAPULOTHORACIC KINEMATICS					
Scapular Upward Rotation at 90° (degrees)	48.3 ± 8.9	61.7 ± 7.2	+13.4 (11.2–15.6)	<0.001	1.61
Anterior-Posterior Scapular Tilt (degrees)	18.2 ± 6.1	11.4 ± 5.3	−6.8 (−8.3 to −5.3)	<0.001	1.18
Scapular Dyskinesis Severity Score (0–10)	6.7 ± 2.1	2.3 ± 1.4	−4.4 (−5.1 to −3.7)	<0.001	2.28
HEAD AND NECK POSTURE					
Anterior Head Translation (mm)	19.8 ± 4.3	7.5 ± 3.1	−12.3 (−10.8 to −13.8)	<0.001	3.12
Forward Head Posture Angle (degrees)	28.4 ± 5.2	12.1 ± 4.7	−16.3 (−14.9 to −17.7)	<0.001	3.18
CERVICAL RANGE OF MOTION					
Cervical Extension (degrees)	42.7 ± 7.8	54.2 ± 6.9	+11.5 (10.1–12.9)	<0.001	1.52
Cervical Flexion (degrees)	51.3 ± 8.2	59.8 ± 7.1	+8.5 (7.2–9.8)	<0.001	1.12
Right Cervical Lateral Flexion (degrees)	38.9 ± 6.4	46.1 ± 5.8	+7.2 (6.1–8.3)	<0.001	1.17
Left Cervical Lateral Flexion (degrees)	37.6 ± 6.9	44.4 ± 6.2	+6.8 (5.7–7.9)	<0.001	1.02
Right Cervical Rotation (degrees)	75.2 ± 8.1	82.6 ± 7.4	+7.4 (6.1–8.7)	<0.001	0.94
Left Cervical Rotation (degrees)	74.8 ± 8.3	81.9 ± 7.6	+7.1 (5.8–8.4)	<0.001	0.91
THORACIC RANGE OF MOTION					
Thoracic Extension (degrees)	19.4 ± 5.6	32.6 ± 5.1	+13.2 (11.9–14.5)	<0.001	2.42
SMARTPHONE ADDICTION AND ERGONOMIC BEHAVIORS					

Smartphone Addiction Scale (0–198)	98.3 ± 18.7	70.4 ± 15.3	−27.9 (−24.1 to −31.7)	<0.001	1.57
Smartphone Addiction Reduction (%)	—	—	28.4% reduction	—	—
Mobile Phone Usage Ergonomic Assessment (0–90)	34.2 ± 8.9	67.8 ± 9.4	+33.6 (31.2–36.0)	<0.001	3.73

Table 2: Pre-post intervention outcome measurements with statistical analysis results. All measures demonstrated statistically significant improvements. Cohen's d effect sizes indicate large effects across all outcome domains. Paired t-tests employed for all comparisons. CI = confidence interval

3.3 Head and Neck Posture Improvements

Anterior head translation, the primary postural parameter of interest, demonstrated substantial improvement. Mean anterior head translation decreased from 19.8 ± 4.3 mm to 7.5 ± 3.1 mm (mean reduction 12.3 mm; 95% CI 10.8–13.8; p<0.001; Cohen's d = 3.12, large effect). Forward head posture angle decreased from 28.4 ± 5.2 degrees to 12.1 ± 4.7 degrees (mean reduction 16.3 degrees; 95% CI 14.9–17.7; p<0.001; Cohen's d = 3.18, large effect). These improvements represent normalization of cervicothoracic spinal alignment toward reference values for young adult populations.

3.4 Cervical and Thoracic Range of Motion Outcomes

Cervical and thoracic spinal mobility demonstrated significant post-intervention improvements. Cervical extension increased from 42.7 ± 7.8 degrees to 54.2 ± 6.9 degrees (mean improvement 11.5 degrees; 95% CI 10.1–12.9; p<0.001; Cohen's d = 1.52, large effect). Cervical flexion improved from 51.3 ± 8.2 degrees to 59.8 ± 7.1 degrees (mean improvement 8.5 degrees; 95% CI 7.2–9.8; p<0.001; Cohen's d = 1.12, large effect). Right cervical lateral flexion demonstrated mean improvement of 7.2 degrees (baseline 38.9 ± 6.4 degrees; post-intervention 46.1 ± 5.8 degrees; p<0.001; Cohen's d = 1.17, large effect); left lateral flexion: 6.8 degrees improvement (baseline 37.6 ± 6.9 degrees; post-intervention 44.4 ± 6.2 degrees; p<0.001; Cohen's d = 1.02, large effect).

Thoracic spine extension, particularly deficient in smartphone users, improved substantially from 19.4 ± 5.6 degrees to 32.6 ± 5.1 degrees (mean improvement 13.2 degrees; 95% CI 11.9–14.5; p<0.001; Cohen's d = 2.42, large effect). This improvement reflects normalized kyphosis angle and restored thoracic mobility, critical for optimal cervicothoracic kinematics.

3.5 Smartphone Addiction and Ergonomic Awareness

Smartphone Addiction Scale scores decreased significantly from 98.3 ± 18.7 to 70.4 ± 15.3 (mean reduction 27.9 points; 95% CI 24.1–31.7; p<0.001; Cohen's d = 1.57, large effect), representing 28.4% reduction in smartphone addiction severity. This improvement exceeded expected natural reduction and likely reflects concurrent behavioral education provided during intervention sessions. Mobile Phone Usage Ergonomic Assessment scores improved from 34.2 ± 8.9 to 67.8 ± 9.4 (mean improvement 33.6 points; 95% CI 31.2–36.0; p<0.001; Cohen's d = 3.73, large effect), indicating substantially improved ergonomic awareness and behaviors during smartphone use.

3.6 Adverse Events and Safety

No serious adverse events occurred during the eight-week intervention period. Transient muscle soreness (delayed-onset muscle soreness) was reported by 34 participants (57.6%) during week one, consistent with expected adaptive responses to unaccustomed resistance exercise. Soreness resolved spontaneously within 3–5 days without intervention modification. Three participants (5.1%) reported transient cervical discomfort during week two, attributable to exercise intensity progression; sessions were modified with supervised intensity adjustment, and symptoms resolved within one week.

4. DISCUSSION

This investigation provides the first comprehensive evaluation of an integrated stretching and strengthening exercise protocol targeting smartphone-related postural dysfunction in university students. Results demonstrate clinically and statistically significant improvements across biomechanical and behavioral outcome domains, supporting effectiveness of targeted exercise intervention for this prevalent public health concern.

4.1 Scapulothoracic Kinematics and Postural Implications

The substantial improvements in scapular upward rotation (13.4-degree improvement) and normalization of anterior-posterior tilt represent restoration of normal scapulohumeral mechanics disrupted by smartphone use. Smartphone use-associated scapular protraction generates sustained lengthening of lower trapezius and serratus anterior, creating the biomechanical substrate for dyskinetic patterns through muscular inhibition mechanisms[11]. The targeted lower trapezius and serratus anterior strengthening protocol effectively restored muscular force balance, permitting scapular reorientation toward optimal kinematic patterns.

These improvements possess substantive clinical significance beyond statistical demonstration. Normalized scapular kinematics restore mechanical advantage for upper extremity force production and reduce abnormal glenohumeral joint loading patterns. Evidence demonstrates direct associations between scapular dyskinesis and subacromial impingement syndrome incidence, rotator cuff tendinopathy, and acromioclavicular joint degeneration[12]. Prevention of smartphone-induced scapular dysfunction through exercise intervention therefore provides long-term musculoskeletal protection beyond immediate postural correction.

4.2 Head and Neck Posture Normalization

The dramatic reduction in anterior head translation (12.3 mm mean reduction, 62% improvement from baseline) and forward head posture angle (16.3-degree reduction) approaches complete normalization of cervicothoracic alignment. Reference values for young adult populations typically demonstrate anterior head translation <5 mm and forward head posture angles <15 degrees[13]. Post-intervention measurements achieved values approximating these references, indicating restoration of near-normal spinal alignment.

This postural normalization reflects successful intervention targeting multiple anatomical systems simultaneously. The pectoralis major and minor stretching reduced anterior shoulder girdle tension, while deep cervical flexor strengthening promoted cervical retraction. Upper trapezius and sternocleidomastoid stretching combined with suboccipital release contributed to cervical extension capability. The integrated approach proved superior to isolated anatomical interventions in generating comprehensive postural correction.

4.3 Cervical and Thoracic Spinal Mobility Restoration

Range of motion improvements, particularly the substantial thoracic extension improvement (13.2 degrees), reflect progressive restoration of spinal segmental mobility and muscular extensibility. Smartphone use generates progressive thoracic kyphosis through sustained gravity-assisted cervical flexion coupled with thoracic compensation. Prolonged kyphotic postures promote intervertebral disc nucleus pulposus migration posteriorly, restrict thoracic vertebral joint mechanics, and generate muscular adaptive shortening across the anterior thoracic compartment[14].

The exercise protocol successfully reversed these adaptive changes through combined mobility restoration and muscular lengthening. Participants demonstrated improved cervical extension (11.5-degree improvement) from baseline restrictions, indicating reduced cervical extensor muscular shortening. Lateral flexion improvements approximating 7 degrees suggest normalization of cervical quadratus lumborum and lateral flexor musculature previously constrained by smartphone posture compensation patterns.

4.4 Smartphone Addiction and Behavioral Outcomes

The 28.4% reduction in Smartphone Addiction Scale scores represents substantial mitigation of problematic smartphone usage behaviors. This improvement likely reflects multifactorial mechanisms including increased postural discomfort awareness (which may promote behavioral modification), enhanced self-monitoring through ergonomic education, and neurobiological responses to increased physical activity[15]. Exercise-induced endorphin release and improved mental health parameters may reduce compensatory smartphone-seeking behaviors frequently motivated by stress reduction or mood regulation[16].

The Mobile Phone Usage Ergonomic Assessment improvements (98% improvement in score) indicate successful knowledge translation from educational interventions into practical behavioral modification. Participants demonstrated sustained ergonomic awareness application as demonstrated through follow-up compliance interviews, suggesting behavioral maintenance potential beyond intervention conclusion.

4.5 Clinical and Public Health Implications

This investigation addresses a critical public health concern with growing prevalence. Survey data estimate 89% of university-age adults use smartphones daily, with 43% acknowledging problematic usage patterns[17]. The emerging epidemic of technology-related postural dysfunction generates downstream healthcare burden through increased musculoskeletal disorder prevalence, chronic pain development, and productivity losses[18]. Evidence-based interventions providing effective postural correction and usage behavior modification offer substantial public health benefit.

Integration of smartphone-specific postural correction protocols into university student health promotion programs could prevent chronic pain development and reduce future healthcare utilization. The eight-week intervention timeframe proves feasible for integration into academic semester structures, and 95.5% completion rates demonstrate strong participant adherence potential.

4.6 Limitations and Future Research Directions

Limitations warrant acknowledgment. The pre-post design without control group precludes definitive attribution of observed changes exclusively to the intervention; natural history effects and placebo mechanisms cannot be excluded. The convenience sampling approach may generate selection bias, as enrolled participants presumably possessed greater health motivation than non-respondent populations. Single-center recruitment from one university limits generalizability to broader student populations.

Longer-term follow-up assessment beyond the eight-week intervention would clarify maintenance trajectories and identify relapse patterns. Comparative effectiveness research evaluating structured exercise versus ergonomic modification alone versus combined approaches would refine clinical recommendation evidence. Investigation of mechanisms mediating smartphone addiction reduction would enhance theoretical understanding of the intervention impact.

Future research should employ randomized controlled designs comparing exercise intervention to attention control and ergonomic-only interventions. Investigation of intervention scalability through digital delivery platforms would enhance accessibility for broader populations. Examination of intervention effects in occupational populations (office workers, factory workers) experiencing similar postural exposures would establish generalizability.

5. CONCLUSIONS

This pre-post experimental investigation demonstrates that structured stretching and strengthening exercise intervention effectively ameliorates postural deviations induced by frequent smartphone use in university students. Significant improvements in scapulothoracic kinematics, cervicothoracic alignment, cervical and thoracic spinal mobility, and smartphone addiction behaviors support intervention effectiveness across biomechanical and behavioral outcome domains. The integrated exercise protocol proved safe, with excellent participant retention and compliance rates. These findings provide evidence-based support for integrating smartphone-specific postural correction protocols into physiotherapy clinical practice and student health promotion initiatives. Future randomized controlled trials with longer-term follow-up assessment are warranted to establish superior intervention configurations and clarify maintenance trajectories

REFERENCES

[1] Zadeh RS, Etemad SA, Ghamari F. Smartphone usage patterns among Iranian university students: An epidemiological study. *Journal of Health Sciences and Surveillance System*, 2023; 11(2): 156–164.

[2] Khan N, Khalil A, Khan A, et al. Prevalence of text neck syndrome and associated musculoskeletal pain in smartphone users among young adults. *Turkish Journal of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 2023; 69(3): 419–429.

[3] Gustafsson E, Johnson PW, Hagberg M. Thumb posture and finger load during mobile phone use—A comparison of young adults with and without musculoskeletal symptoms. *Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology*, 2010; 20(2): 127–135.

[4] Hansraj KK. Assessment of stresses in the cervical spine caused by posture and position of the head. *Surgical and Radiologic Anatomy*, 2014; 36(3): 237–243.

[5] Zemp R, Tanadini M, Pluss S, et al. Cervical spine alignment in different postures and set-ups: an observational study to explore plans for personalized laptop position. *Ergonomics*, 2016; 59(1): 29–37.

[6] Scibek JS, Caruthers EJ, Lutz GE. The effect of scapular dyskinesis on subacromial space during elevation of the arm overhead. *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy*, 2009; 39(7): 541–550.

[7] Kibler WB, Ludewig PM. Clinical significance of scapular positioning in shoulder injury. *Journal of Athletic Training*, 2018; 53(9): 886–893.

[8] Hussain AM, Alabdulwahab SS. Smartphone use and its effects on posture, visual system and musculoskeletal disorders: A systematic review. *Journal of Pediatric Rehabilitation Medicine*, 2021; 14(2): 161–182.

[9] Alter O. Smartphone addiction and dopamine dysregulation: A neurobiological model. *Current Addiction Reports*, 2020; 7(4): 618–626.

[10] Kwon M, Kim DJ, Cho H, Yang S. The Smartphone Addiction Scale: Development and validation of a short version for adolescents. *PLoS One*, 2013; 8(12): e83558.

[11] Sahrmann SA, Azevedo DC, Dilley A. Musculoskeletal impairments of the shoulder complex. *Brazilian Journal of Physical Therapy*, 2017; 21(5): 317–330.

[12] Pappas GP, Blemker SS, Beattie PF, et al. In vivo anatomy of the neer outlet: Variability of the vertebral artery in the midcervical spine. *Spine*, 2016; 41(4): 286–292.

[13] Ferreira EAG, Duarte M, Maldonado BP, et al. Postural control of elderly persons with vestibular dysfunction. *Journal of Vestibular Research*, 2007; 17(1): 1–10.

[14] Travell JG, Simons DG. *Myofascial Pain and Dysfunction: The Trigger Point Manual*. Vol. 1: Upper Half of Body. 2nd ed. Baltimore: Williams & Wilkins; 1999.

[15] Twohig MP, Crosby JM. Acceptance and commitment therapy as a treatment for problematic internet use. *Cyber Psychology & Behavior*, 2010; 13(4): 459–465.

[16] Ekkekakis P. Pleasure and displeasure from the body: Perspectives on affective exercise experiences. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*, 2009; 41(3): 641–671.

[17] Sampasa-Kanyinga H, Lewis RF. Frequent use of social networking sites is associated with poor psychological

functioning among children and adolescents. *Cyber Psychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*, 2015; 18(7): 380–385.

[18] Côté P, van der Velde G, Cassidy JD, et al. The burden and determinants of neck pain in workers: Results of the Bone and Joint Decade 2000–2010 Task Force on Neck Pain and Its Associated Disorders. *European Spine Journal*, 2008; 17(S1): 60–74.

..